


The best thermoelectric: Optimizing power-efficiency trade-off



Giuliano Benenti

Center for Nonlinear and Complex Systems,
Univ. Insubria, Como, Italy
INFN, Milano, Italy

Work in progress, thanks to collaborators:
Giulio Casati (Como), **Wang Jiao**, **Rongxiang Luo** (Xiamen)

This contribution is part of a special series of Inaugural Articles by members of the National Academy of Sciences elected on April 25, 1995.

The best thermoelectric

G. D. MAHAN*[†] AND J. O. SOFO[‡]

ABSTRACT What electronic structure provides the largest figure of merit for thermoelectric materials? To answer that question, we write the electrical conductivity, thermopower, and thermal conductivity as integrals of a single function, the transport distribution. Then we derive the mathematical function for the transport distribution, which gives the largest figure of merit. A delta-shaped transport distribution is found to maximize the thermoelectric properties. This result indicates that a narrow distribution of the energy of the electrons participating in the transport process is needed for maximum thermoelectric efficiency. Some possible realizations of this idea are discussed.

Motivation

Carnot efficiency can be obtained only for infinitely slow heat engines, so the extracted power vanishes

What is the maximum allowed efficiency at a given power output?

For steady-state (thermoelectric) quantum systems modeled by the Landauer-Büttiker scattering theory a (rather restrictive) upper bound exists

[Whitney, PRL **112**, 130601 (2014); PRB **91**, 115425 (2015)]

Is it possible to overcome this bound for **interacting systems**, thus allowing *a better power-efficiency trade-off?*

Landauer formalism for thermoelectricity

Charge current

$$J_e = eJ_\rho = \frac{e}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \tau(E) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)]$$

Heat current from reservoirs:

$$J_{h,\alpha} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE (E - \mu_\alpha) \tau(E) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)]$$

$\tau(E)$ transmission probability for a particle with energy E

$0 \leq \tau(E) \leq \mathcal{N}$, \mathcal{N} number of transverse modes

$f_\alpha(E)$ Fermi distribution of the particles injected from reservoir α

$$f_\alpha(E) = \{1 + \exp[(E - \mu_\alpha)/(k_B T_\alpha)]\}^{-1}$$

Thermoelectric efficiency (power production)

$$\eta = \frac{P}{J_{h,L}} \quad (T_L > T_R) \quad P, J_{h,L} > 0$$

$$\eta = \frac{[(\mu_R - \mu_L)/e]J_e}{J_{h,L}} = \frac{(\mu_R - \mu_L) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \tau(E) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)]}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE (E - \mu_L) \tau(E) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)]}$$

$$(\mu_R > \mu_L)$$

Delta-energy filtering and Carnot efficiency

If transmission is possible only inside a tiny energy window around $E=E_*$ then

$$\eta = \frac{\mu_L - \mu_R}{E_* - \mu_L}$$

In the limit $J_\rho \rightarrow 0$, corresponding to reversible transport

$$\frac{E_* - \mu_L}{T_L} = \frac{E_* - \mu_R}{T_R} \Rightarrow E_* = \frac{\mu_R T_L - \mu_L T_R}{T_L - T_R}$$


$$\eta = \eta_C = 1 - T_R/T_L \quad \text{Carnot efficiency}$$

Carnot efficiency obtained in the limit of reversible transport (zero entropy production) and zero output power

[Mahan and Sofo, PNAS 93, 7436 (1996);
Humphrey et al., PRL 89, 116801 (2002)]


Heat-to-work conversion through energy filtering

(a) Direct contact - no energy filter




Flow of heat from hot to cold but no flow of charge

(b) Energy-filter as heat-engine



(c) Energy-filter as refrigerator



Finite time thermodynamics

In an ideal Carnot engine conversion processes are quasi-static and **the extracted power reduces to zero.**

How much the efficiency deteriorates when heat to work conversion takes place in a finite time?

Finite time thermodynamics: finite-time steady-state conversion processes or thermodynamic cycles; here we *focus on steady-state (thermoelectric) heat engines*

Ref.: B. Andresen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50 (2011) 2690

Bekenstein-Pendry bound

There is an **purely quantum** upper bound on the heat current through a single transverse mode

[Bekenstein, PRL **46**, 923 (1981); Pendry, JPA **16**, 2161 (1983)]

For a reservoir coupled to another reservoir at $T=0$ through a \mathcal{N} -mode constriction which lets particle flow at all energies:

$$J_{h,i}^{\max} = \frac{\pi^2}{6h} \mathcal{N} k_B^2 T_i^2$$

Maximum power of a heat engine

Since the heat flow must be less than the Bekenstein-Pendry bound and the efficiency smaller than Carnot efficiency also the output power must be bounded


Within scattering theory:

$$P \leq P_{\max} = A_q \frac{\pi^2}{h} \mathcal{N} k_B^2 (\Delta T)^2, \quad A_q \approx 0.0321,$$
$$\Delta T = T_L - T_R$$

[Whitney, PRL **112**, 130601 (2014); PRB **91**, 115425 (2015)]


Efficiency optimization (at a given power)

Find the transmission function that optimizes the heat-engine efficiency



[Whitney, PRL **112**, 130601 (2014); PRB **91**, 115425 (2015)]


Trade-off between power and efficiency



Result from (nonlinear) scattering theory


[Whitney, PRL **112**, 130601 (2014); PRB **91**, 115425 (2015)]

Power-efficiency trade-off including phonons





[see Whitney, PRB **91**, 115425 (2015)]

Boxcar transmission in topological insulators



[Chang et al., Nanolett.,
14, 3779 (2014)]



Is it possible to overcome the non-interacting bound?

For $P/P_{\max} \ll 1$,


$$\eta(P) \leq \eta_{\max}(P) = \eta_C \left(1 - B_q \sqrt{\frac{T_R}{T_L} \frac{P}{P_{\max}}} \right),$$
$$B_q \approx 0.478 \quad (T_L > T_R)$$

Bound not favorable for power-efficiency trade-off; due to the fact that **delta-energy filtering** is the only mechanism to achieve Carnot for noninteracting systems

For **interacting systems** it is possible to achieve Carnot without delta-energy filtering

Linear response for coupled (particle and heat) flows

Stochastic baths: ideal gases at fixed temperature and electrochemical potential



$$\begin{cases} J_e = L_{ee}\mathcal{F}_e + L_{eh}\mathcal{F}_h \\ J_h = L_{he}\mathcal{F}_e + L_{hh}\mathcal{F}_h \end{cases}$$

Onsager relation (for time-reversal symmetric systems):

$$L_{eh} = L_{he}$$

Positivity of entropy production:

$$L_{ee} \geq 0, \quad L_{hh} \geq 0, \quad \det \mathbf{L} \geq 0$$

$$\mathcal{F}_e = \Delta V/T \quad (\Delta V = \Delta\mu/e)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_h = \Delta T/T^2$$

$$\Delta\mu = \mu_L - \mu_R$$

$$\Delta T = T_L - T_R$$

(we assume $T_L > T_R$, $\mu_L < \mu_R$)

Onsager and transport coefficients

$$G = \left(\frac{J_e}{\Delta V} \right)_{\Delta T=0} = \frac{L_{ee}}{T}$$

$$K = \left(\frac{J_h}{\Delta T} \right)_{J_e=0} = \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{L_{ee}}$$

$$S = - \left(\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T} \right)_{J_e=0} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{L_{eh}}{L_{ee}}$$

Note that the positivity of entropy production implies that the (isothermal) electric conductance $G > 0$ and the thermal conductance $K > 0$

Local equilibrium

Under the assumption of local equilibrium we can write phenomenological equations with ∇T and $\nabla \mu$ rather than ΔT and $\Delta \mu$



$$\begin{cases} j_e = \lambda_{ee}(-\nabla \mu / eT) + \lambda_{eh} \nabla(1/T), \\ j_h = \lambda_{he}(-\nabla \mu / eT) + \lambda_{hh} \nabla(1/T) \end{cases}$$

j_e, j_h charge and heat current densities

In this case we connect Onsager coefficients to **electric and thermal conductivity** rather than to conductances

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{j_e}{\nabla V} \right)_{\nabla T=0}, \quad \kappa = \left(\frac{j_h}{\nabla T} \right)_{j_e=0}$$


Traditional versus quantum thermoelectrics



Relaxation length (tens of nanometers at room temperature) of the order of the mean free path; inelastic scattering (phonons) thermalizes the electrons

Structures smaller than the relaxation length (many microns at low temperature); quantum interference effects; Boltzmann transport theory cannot be applied

Linear response?



$$T_H \sim 600 - 700 \text{ K}$$

(exhaust gases)

$$T_C \sim 270 - 300 \text{ K}$$

(room temperature)

Figure 1 | Integrating thermoelectrics into vehicles for improved fuel efficiency. Shown is a BMW 530i concept car with a thermoelectric generator (yellow; and inset) and radiator (red/blue).

[Vining, Nat. Mater. **8**, 83 (2009)]

Linear response for small temperature and electrochemical potential differences (compared to the average temperature)
on the scale of the relaxation length

Exhaust pipe: temperature drop over a mm scale:
temperature drop of 0.003 K on the relaxation length scale
(of 10 nm)

Maximum efficiency

Within linear response and for steady-state heat to work conversion:

$$\eta = \frac{P}{\dot{Q}_L} = \frac{-(\Delta V)J_e}{J_h} = \frac{-T\mathcal{F}_e(L_{ee}\mathcal{F}_e + L_{eh}\mathcal{F}_h)}{L_{he}\mathcal{F}_e + L_{hh}\mathcal{F}_h}$$

Find the maximum of η over \mathcal{F}_e for fixed \mathcal{F}_h i.e., over the applied voltage ΔV for fixed temperature difference ΔT)

Maximum achieved for $\mathcal{F}_e = \frac{L_{hh}}{L_{he}} \left(-1 + \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{L_{ee}L_{hh}}} \right) \mathcal{F}_h$



Maximum efficiency (for system with time-reversal symmetry)

$$\eta_{\max} = \eta_C \frac{\sqrt{ZT + 1} - 1}{\sqrt{ZT + 1} + 1}$$

Thermoelectric figure of merit

$$ZT \equiv \frac{L_{eh}^2}{\det \mathbf{L}} = \frac{GS^2}{K} T$$

Positivity of entropy production implies $ZT > 0$



Efficiency at maximum power

Output power $P = -(\Delta V)J_e = -T\mathcal{F}_e(L_{ee}\mathcal{F}_e + L_{eh}\mathcal{F}_h)$

Find the maximum of P over \mathcal{F}_e for fixed \mathcal{F}_h (over the applied voltage ΔV for fixed ΔT)

Maximum achieved for $\mathcal{F}_e = -\frac{L_{eh}}{2L_{ee}}\mathcal{F}_h$


Maximum output power

$$P_{\max} = \frac{T}{4} \frac{L_{eh}^2}{L_{ee}} \mathcal{F}_h^2 = \frac{1}{4} S^2 G (\Delta T)^2$$

Power factor $S^2 G$

P quadratic function of \mathcal{F}_e , with maximum at half of the *stopping force*:


$$\mathcal{F}_e^{\text{stop}} = -\frac{L_{eh}}{L_{ee}} \mathcal{F}_h, \quad J_e(\mathcal{F}_e^{\text{stop}}) = 0$$



Efficiency at maximum power

$$\eta(P_{\text{max}}) = \frac{\eta_C}{2} \frac{ZT}{ZT + 2} \leq \eta_{CA} \equiv \frac{\eta_C}{2}$$


η_{CA} Curzon-Ahlborn upper bound



Efficiency versus power

$$r = \mathcal{F}_e / \mathcal{F}_e^{\text{stop}} \quad \frac{P}{P_{\text{max}}} = 4r(1 - r) \quad \Rightarrow \quad r = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{P}{P_{\text{max}}}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\eta}{\eta_C} = \frac{\frac{P}{P_{\text{max}}}}{2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{ZT} \mp \sqrt{1 - \frac{P}{P_{\text{max}}}} \right)}$$



Interacting systems, Green-Kubo formula

The Green-Kubo formula expresses linear response transport coefficients in terms of dynamic correlation functions of the corresponding current operators, calculated at thermodynamic equilibrium

$$\lambda_{ab} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \text{Re}[\lambda_{ab}(\omega)]$$

$$\lambda_{ab}(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-i(\omega - i\epsilon)t} \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \langle \hat{J}_a \hat{J}_b(t + i\tau) \rangle, \quad J_a = \langle \hat{J}_a \rangle$$



$$\hat{J}_a = \int_{\Omega} d\vec{r} \hat{j}_a(\vec{r})$$
$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \{\text{tr}[(\cdot) \exp(-\beta H)]\} / \text{tr}[\exp(-\beta H)]$$

$$\text{Re}\lambda_{ab}(\omega) = 2\pi D_{ab} \delta(\omega) + \lambda_{ab}^{\text{reg}}(\omega)$$

Non-zero generalized Drude weights signature of ballistic transport

Conservation laws and thermoelectric efficiency

Suzuki's formula (which generalizes Mazur's inequality) for finite-size Drude weights

$$d_{ab}(\Lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{2\Omega(\Lambda)} \lim_{\bar{t} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\bar{t}} \int_0^{\bar{t}} dt \langle \hat{J}_a(0) \hat{J}_b(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\Omega(\Lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\langle \hat{J}_a Q_m \rangle \langle \hat{J}_b Q_m \rangle}{\langle Q_m^2 \rangle}$$

Q_m relevant (i.e., non-orthogonal to charge and thermal currents), mutually orthogonal conserved quantities

$$D_{ab} = \lim_{\bar{t} \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\Omega(\Lambda)\bar{t}} \int_0^{\bar{t}} dt \langle \hat{J}_a(0) \hat{J}_b(t) \rangle$$

Assuming commutativity of the two limits,

$$D_{ab} = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} d_{ab}(\Lambda)$$

Momentum-conserving systems

Consider systems with a single relevant constant of motion, notably momentum conservation

Ballistic contribution to $\det \lambda$ vanishes since

$$D_{ee}D_{hh} - D_{eh}^2 = 0$$

$$\sigma \sim \lambda_{ee} \sim \Lambda$$

$$S \sim \lambda_{eh}/\lambda_{ee} \sim \Lambda^0 \quad ZT = \frac{\sigma S^2}{\kappa} T \propto \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \rightarrow \infty \text{ when } \Lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\kappa \sim \det \lambda / L_{ee} \sim \Lambda^\alpha$$

$(\alpha < 1)$

(G.B., G. Casati, J. Wang, PRL 110, 070604 (2013))

For systems with more than a single relevant constant of motion, for instance for **integrable systems**, due to the Schwarz inequality

$$D_{ee}D_{hh} - D_{eh}^2 = \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2\|\mathbf{x}_h\|^2 - \langle \mathbf{x}_e, \mathbf{x}_h \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iM}) = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \left(\frac{\langle J_i Q_1 \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle Q_1^2 \rangle}}, \dots, \frac{\langle J_i Q_M \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle Q_M^2 \rangle}} \right)$$


$$\langle \mathbf{x}_e, \mathbf{x}_h \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^M x_{ek} x_{hk}$$

Equality arises only in the exceptional case when the two vectors are parallel; in general

$$\det \lambda \propto \bar{\Lambda}^2, \quad \kappa \propto \Lambda, \quad ZT \propto \Lambda^0$$

Example: 1D interacting classical gas

Consider a **one dimensional gas** of elastically colliding particles with **unequal masses: m, M**



For $M = m$ (integrable model)

$$J_u = T_L \gamma_L - T_R \gamma_R \quad (J_u = J_q + \mu J_\rho)$$

$$J_\rho = \gamma_L - \gamma_R \quad ZT = 1 \text{ (at } \mu = 0)$$

For $M \neq m$ **ZT depends on the system size**

Quantum mechanics needed:

Relation between density and electrochemical potential

Reservoirs modeled as ideal (1D) gases

$$f_{\alpha}(v) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T_{\alpha}}} \exp\left(-\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T_{\alpha}}\right)$$

Maxwell-Boltzmann
distribution of
velocities

$$\gamma_{\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} dv v f_{\alpha}(v) = \rho_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{k_B T_{\alpha}}{2\pi m}}$$

injection rates

$$\Xi_{\alpha} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda}{h} e^{\beta_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}} \int dv m \exp\left[-\beta_{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} m v^2\right)\right] \right\}^N$$

grand partition
function


$$\langle N \rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{\alpha}} \ln \Xi_{\alpha}, \quad \rho_{\alpha} = \frac{\langle N \rangle_{\alpha}}{\Lambda} = \frac{e^{\beta_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}} \sqrt{2\pi m k_B T_{\alpha}}}{h}$$

density

$$\mu_{\alpha} = k_B T_{\alpha} \ln(\lambda_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}), \quad \lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi m k_B T_{\alpha}}}$$


de Broglie thermal
wave length

Non-decaying correlation functions



$\Lambda = 256$ (red dashed curve), 512 (blue dash-dotted curve),
and 1024 (black solid curve)

Carnot efficiency at the thermodynamic limit



**Anomalous
thermal transport**

$$ZT = \frac{\sigma S^2}{k} T$$

ZT diverges
increasing the systems size

Delta-energy filtering mechanism?


At a given position \mathbf{x} compute:

$$J_\rho = \int_0^\infty dE D(E)$$

$D(E) \equiv D_L(E) - D_R(E)$ “transmission function”

$D_L(E)$ Density of particles crossing \mathbf{x} from left

$D_R(E)$ Density of particles crossing \mathbf{x} from right





There is no sign of narrowing of $D(E)$ with increasing the system size L


A mechanism for achieving Carnot **different from delta-energy filtering is needed**

(K. Saito, G.B., G. Casati, Chem. Phys. 375, 508 (2010))

Power vs. efficiency



Validity of linear response



The agreement with linear response improves with N
(∇T decreases as the system size increases)

Non-interacting classical bound (but quantum mechanics needed)


$$J_e = \frac{e}{h} \int_0^\infty dE [f_L(E) - f_R(E)] \tau(E) \quad \text{charge current}$$

$$J_{h,\alpha} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty dE (E - \mu_\alpha) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)] \tau(E) \quad \text{heat current}$$

$$f_\alpha(E) = e^{-\beta_\alpha(E - \mu_\alpha)} \quad \text{Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution}$$


$$0 \leq \tau(E) \leq 1 \quad (\text{in 1D})$$

$$\mu_\alpha = k_B T_\alpha \ln(\lambda_\alpha \rho_\alpha), \quad \lambda_\alpha = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi m k_B T_\alpha}} \quad \text{de Broglie thermal wave length}$$



$$P \leq P_{\max} = A_c \frac{\pi^2}{h} k_B^2 (\Delta T)^2, \quad A_c \approx 0.0373$$

Overcoming the non-interacting bound



Conclusions

Thanks to **interactions**, for a given power it is possible to overcome the bound of efficiency which applies for (semi)-classical non-interacting systems

Our model exhibits a **power-efficiency trade-off** which is **optimal within linear response**

Our results are based on the fact that momentum-conserving systems can achieve the Carnot efficiency at the thermodynamic limit **without delta-energy filtering**

Extension of our results to purely quantum systems?



Physics Reports

Volume 694, 9 June 2017, Pages 1-124



Fundamental aspects of steady-state conversion of heat to work at the nanoscale

Giuliano Benenti ^{a, b}  , Giulio Casati ^{a, c} , Keiji Saito ^d , Robert S. Whitney ^e 

 **Show more**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2017.05.008>

[Get rights and content](#)