Iterated Tikhonov with Generalized Singular Value Decomposition

Mirjeta PASHA

Kent State University

mpasha1@kent.edu

May 23, 2018



- Introduction and insight on inverse problems
- Iterated Tikhonov with GSVD
- 4 Comparison of some zero finders
- 5 Numerical tests and results

Motivation. Why inverse problems? Some examples where they arise

Inverse problems arise in many fields of science and life:

- 1. Medical imaging
- 2. Geophysics
- 3. Industrial process monitoring
- 4. Remote sensing
- 5. Pricing financial instruments, etc.

GOAL: Design and analyze reliable and computationally effective mathematical solution methods for inverse problems.

Inverse problems \equiv Troubles

Naive solution of an equation with the blurring operator. What we usually do?

 $x = A^{\dagger}b$, where A^{\dagger} is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse.



Figure: Direct solving ill-posed problems (example by James Nagy, Emory University, Atlanta).

Mirjeta PASHA (KSU)

Introduction

GOAL: Solve Ax = b. $A \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 100}$, x, b, $\in \mathbb{R}^{100}$ generated by Matlab code [A, x,b]= shaw(100). Naive solution: $x = A^{-1}b$



Question: What will happen if I calculate the solution a little bit more carefully, i.e, $x=A \setminus b$?

Mirjeta PASHA (KSU)

May 23, 2018 5 / 30

Introduction

GOAL: Solve Ax = b. $A \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 100}$, x, b, $\in \mathbb{R}^{100}$ generated by Matlab code [A, x,b] = shaw(100).



Question: What will happen if there is some noise in the right- hand side b???

Mirjeta PASHA (KSU)

Definition: (Well- posedness, Hadamard 1865-1963) A problem is called **well-posed** if:

- a solution exists
- the solution is unique
- the solution depends continuously on the given data (the solution is stable).

If at least one of the above conditions is violated, then the problem becomes ill-posed.

- GOAL: Solve $Ax + e = b_{true}$. $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, x, $b_{true} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- A is large ill-conditioned and maybe rank deficient.
- b represents the measured data
- e is the noise from measurements or other sources.
- Problems with the properties above are called linear ill-posed problems
- Methods to solve?? Some..

Generally we regularize and then solve.

- $\mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- $\mathsf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ where $b = b_{true} + e$
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the solution we are looking for.
- $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidian norm, i.e. $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$ • $\mu = \frac{1}{\beta}$

Consider the following problem:

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|Ax-b\|$$

DREAM: Would like to compute a solution of $Ax = b_{true}$ **REALITY:** Would like to compute an approximate solution of Ax = b since b_{true} is not known.

Tikhonov regularization

The possibly most popular regularization method is Tikhonov regularization.

Standard form:
$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \|Ax - b\|^2 + \mu \|x - x_0\|^2 \}$$
 (3)

General form:
$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \|Ax - b\|^2 + \mu \|L(x - x_0)\|^2 \}$$
 (4)

- If L= I (Identity), then the Tikhonov minimization problem is said to be in the *standard form*.
- If L ≠ I (Identity), then the Tikhonov minimization problem is said to be in the general form.
- The matrix L is chosen such that

$$\mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(L) = 0 \tag{5}$$

• For $\mu > 0$ the Tikhonov minimization problem has unique solution.

- Assume that a fairly estimate for $\delta = \|b b_{true}\|_2$ is known. The discrepancy principle prescribes that $\mu > 0$ be chosen so that $\|Ax_{\mu} - b\|_2 = \eta \delta$ for some constant $\eta > 1$ independent of δ .
- Let define the function $\phi(\mu) = ||Ax_{\mu} b||^2$.

- Consider x_k is our solution at some iteration k.
- Our direct solution is given by $x^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}b$ and let $e_k = x^{\dagger} x_k$

•
$$x^{\dagger} = x_k + e_k \approx x_k + h_k = x_{k+1}$$

• $A(e_k) = A(x^{\dagger} - x_k) = b_{true} - Ax_k \approx b - Ax_k = r_k$

•
$$h_k = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ah - r_k||^2 + \mu ||Lh||^2$$

•
$$h_k = (A^T A + \mu L^T L)^{-1} A^T (b - A x_k)$$

• $x_{k+1} = x_k + (A^T A + \mu L^T L)^{-1} A^T (b - A x_k)$

- The GSVD is a generalization of the SVD of A and the generalized singular values of the pair (A,L) are essentially the square roots of the generalized eigenvalues of the matrix pair (A^TA, AA^T)
- Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and let $L \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ satisfy $m \ge n \ge p$ Assume that $N(A) \cap N(L) = 0$ and that L has full row rank.
- The columns of U and V are orthonormal, X is nonsingular with columns that are A^TA orthonormal and Σ and M are pxp diagonal matrices.
- The diagonal elements of Σ and M are nonnegative and ordered such that $0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq ... \leq \sigma_p \leq 1$, $0 < \mu_p \leq \mu_{p-1} \leq ... \leq \mu_1 < 1$.

They are normalized such that $\sigma_i^2 + \mu_i^2 = 1, i = 1, 2, ..., p$.

- Then, the generalized singular values γ_i of (A,L) are defined as the ratios $\gamma_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{\mu_i}, i = 1, 2, ..., p$.
- The pairs (σ_i, μ_i) are well conditioned with respect to perturbations in A and B.

Standard Tikhonov Regularization in general form

Assume that A and L are square matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and define the factorizations as:

$$A = U\Sigma Y^T$$
 and $L = V\Lambda Y^T$, where

• $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal matrices

•
$$\Sigma = diag[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

•
$$\Lambda = diag[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

• The matrix Y is nonsingular

Due to (5) the unique solution will be given by:

$$x_{\mu} = (A^{T}A + \mu_{k}I)^{-1}A^{T}b$$
 (12)

Consider the Iterated Tikhonov formula in the general case:

•
$$x_{k+1} = x_k + (A^T A + \mu L^T L)^{-1} A^T (b - A x_k)$$
 or equivalently:

•
$$(A^{T}A + \mu L^{T}L)x_{k+1} = A^{T}b + \mu L^{T}Lx_{k},$$

 $x_{k+1} = (A^{T}A + \mu L^{T}L)^{-1}(A^{T}b + \mu L^{T}Lx_{k})$

- Let $A = U\Sigma Y^T$ and $L = U\Lambda Y^T$. Substituting onto the above formula will get the simplified version of the iterations: $Y(\Sigma^T\Sigma + \mu\Lambda^T\Lambda)Y^Tx_{k+1} = Y(\Sigma^TU^Tb + \mu\Lambda^T\Lambda Y^Tx_k)$
- let $Z_k = Y^T x_k$ and $\hat{b} = U^T b$ the formulas will become: $Z_{k+1} = (\Sigma^T \Sigma + \mu \Lambda^T \Lambda)^{-1} (\Sigma^T \hat{b} + \mu \Lambda^T \Lambda Z_k)$

$$Z_{k+1} = (\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma + \mu \Lambda^{\mathsf{T}} \Lambda)^{-1} (\Sigma^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{b} + \mu \Lambda^{\mathsf{T}} \Lambda Z_k) \left(\star \right)$$

Formulas for Discrepancy Principle, Iterated Tikhonov GSVD

- Use the idea of the iterated formula: $x_{k+1} = x_k + (A^T A + \mu L^T L)^{-1} A^T (b - A x_k)$ and the Discrepancy principle: $||A x_{k+1} - b||^2 = (\eta \delta)^2$
- Use the GSVD decomposition of A and L and plug in the iterated formula will get:
- $\|\Sigma(\Sigma^T\Sigma + \mu\Lambda^T\Lambda)^{-1}\Sigma^T(\hat{b} \Sigma Z_k) + \Sigma Z_k \hat{b}\|^2 = (\eta\delta)^2$

•
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (\frac{\sigma_j^2}{\sigma_j^2 + \mu \lambda_j^2} (\hat{b}_j - \sigma_j(\hat{x}_k)_j) + \sigma_j(\hat{x}_k)_j - \hat{b}_j)^2 = (\eta \delta)^2$$

• Using the fact $\mu = \frac{1}{\beta}$ will get the final formula:

$$\boxed{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (\frac{\hat{b}_{j}\lambda_{j}^{2} - \sigma_{j}(\hat{x}_{k})_{j}\lambda_{j}^{2}}{\beta\sigma_{j}^{2} + \lambda_{j}^{2}})^{2} = (\eta\delta)^{2}} \quad (\star\star)$$

Mirjeta PASHA (KSU)

May 23, 2018 19 / 30

$$Z_{k+1} = (\Sigma^T \Sigma + \mu \Lambda^T \Lambda)^{-1} (\Sigma^T \hat{b} + \mu \Lambda^T \Lambda Z_k)$$

$$\mathbf{2} \ \phi(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{\hat{b}_j \lambda_j^2 - \sigma_j(\hat{x}_k)_j \lambda_j^2}{\beta \sigma_j^2 + \lambda_j^2} \right)^2 - (\eta \delta)^2$$

$$\phi'(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{-2\sigma_j^2(\hat{b}_j \lambda_j^2 - \sigma_j(\hat{x}_k)_j \lambda_j^2)^2}{(\beta \sigma_j^2 + \lambda_j^2)^3}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

 (\star)

 $(\star\star)$

 $(\star \star \star)$

Problem with ill-conditioned matrices

Algorithm 1 (Iterated Tikhonov with GSVD)

Input: Measurement matrix A, regularization parameter L and data b. Output: Approximate solution $x_k \approx x$

0. Calculate the GSVD of the pair (A,L), $A = U\Sigma Y^T$, $L = V\Lambda Y^T$ **1.** Initialize $\mu = \frac{1}{\beta}$ (in general $\beta = 0$), $x_0 = 0$. Let $Z_k = Y^T X_k$ and $\hat{b} = U^T b$

for k=1, 2, .. until stopping criteria do:

2. Calculate μ_k to satisfy the Discrepancy Principle using the function $\phi(\beta)$

3. Update
$$Z_{k+1} = (\Sigma^T \Sigma + \frac{1}{\beta} \Lambda^T \Lambda)^{-1} (\Sigma^T \hat{b} + \frac{1}{\beta} \Lambda^T \Lambda Z_k)$$

end

Table: Relative error (1% noise added)

	Tikhonov GSVD	ITGSVD
shaw(100)	0.2503	0.1002
baart(100)	0.0905	0.0356
deriv2(100,2)	0.0202	0.0152

Comparing our new algorithm with a previous algorithm [A. Buccini, M.Donatelli, L.Reichel] where they use a sequence of regularization parameters which satisfy the condition:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k^{-1} = \infty$$

Note 1: Their method needs a good approximation of the parameter q, and a good choice of α_0 which will depend on the matrix L . **Note 2:** They use q=0.8 and $\alpha_0 = 10^6$

Comparing GIT and ITGSVD with baart(100) and shaw(100)





Figure: a. Example of baart(100)

Figure: b. Example of shaw(100)

<

Table: Relativ	e error (1	% noise added)
	GIT	ITGSVD
shaw(100)	0.0453	0.0815.
baart(100)	0.1663	0.1750

Mirjeta PASHA (KSU)

We consider 4 zero finders to compare the results. Why? Finding the regularization parameter in the best way is our GOAL.

Good regularization parameter $| \implies |$ Good approximate solution

- Since we use iterative methods to find β from $\phi(\beta) = 0$, the approximated solution depends on the method too.
- We will consider the iterative methods:
 - Bisection method (It will find the solution, but not clear which should be the interval that we look for β and the convergence is very slow)
 - Newton method (The convergence is he fast, quadratic?, but it may find solutions which are not accepted ($\beta < 0$) and the regularization is not valid.)
 - Solution New convergence method (L. Reichel, A. Shyshkov) which yields cubic convergence.
 - Newton method applied to $1/\Phi(\beta)$

Comparing the zero finders





Figure: a. Example of baart(100)

Figure: b. Example of shaw(100)

Table: Relative error shaw(100) (1% noise added)

	Relative error	Time(s)
Bisection	0.0504	0.098
Newton	0.1663	0.073
Newton Inverse	0.1763	0.089
New zero	0.0486	0.0413

Mirjeta PASHA (KSU)

Advantages:

- It uses the information of the matrices A and L to approximate the solution in a better way.
- It evaluates the regularization parameter without any prior knowledge.
- It is a relatively good method for small dimension problems.
- Compared to GIT there is no need to have prior knowledge of the 2 parameters that this method use.

Disadvantages:

• Might be a problem using this method if there are very high dimension matrices since the computation of the GSVD of the pair (A,L) is expensive.

- [1] Per Christian Hansen *Rank- Deficient and Discrete III-posed Problems.* SAIM, Philadelphia.
- [2] Alessandro Buccini, Marco Donatelli, Lothar Reichel. *Iterated Tikhonov regularization with general penalty term*.
- [3] Gaungxin Huang, Lothar Reichel, Feng Yin *On the choice of the solution subspace for nonstationary iterated Tikhonov regularization*
- [4] Gaungxin Huang, Lothar Reichel, Feng Yin *Projected Nonstationary Iterated Tikhonov Regularization*
- [5] Lothar Reichel Andriy Shyshkov *A new zero-finder for Tikhonov-Regularization*

6]

https://www2.math.ethz.ch/education/bachelor/seminars/hs2010/ipip/s

Questions?

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

Thank you!

- ∢ ⊒ →

æ

・ロト ・日下・ ・日下