Post-processing for AO-corrected images

Inverse Problems in Imaging May 23rd, 2018 Summer school - Lake Como

Supervisors Thierry FUSCO (ONERA/LAM) Laurent MUGNIER (ONERA) **Co-supervisors** Benoit NEICHEL (LAM) Aurélie BONNEFOIS (ONERA)

Romain JL. FETICK



Summary

- I. Imaging with adaptive optics in astronomy
- II. Theory on deconvolution and myopic deconvolutionIII. PSF parametrizationIV. Tests on VLT SPHERE and MUSE data
- V. Future developments



Observation with Adaptive Optics



- Observation of satellites and asteroids
- Adaptive Optics (AO) partially corrects the atmospheric turbulence
- AO greatly improves imaging performances

<u>ontex</u>

- Residual turbulence with AO still produces "blurring"
- Photon and detector read-out noise
- Better images required (noiseless, sharp edges, visible structures)

Post-processing required to further improve image quality

Deconvolution



Criterion to minimize $) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{Im - Obj \star PSF}{\sigma} \right\|^{2} + \mu \cdot \Phi \left(\frac{\nabla Obj}{\delta} \right)$

Data fidelity

J(Obj

A priori

Observed PSF may significantly differ from the real system PSF
Errors on estimated object

→ A priori on object

Myopic deconvolution







State of the art PSF from star



Image VLT - SPHERE Credit: P. Vernazza (LAM) → Deconvolutional issues: mismatch true PSF (linked to object) / observed PSF (star)

7

State of the art Myopic deconvolution



→ Deconvolutional issues
→ Low statistical contrast: data (N pixels) << unknown (2 x N)</p>

Goals of the thesis Parametric myopic deconvolution



→ No need for on-sky PSF observation: astronomical & military usage

Work objectives

- Evaluate improvements of deconvolution using parametric PSF
- Stability toward parameters
 - Myopic deconvolution
 - Apply method on data
 - Simulations
 - VLT (asteroids)
 - ONERA (satellites)

Error maps on estimated objects



Toulouse

