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Lecture 3: Block iterative methods, preconditioning, iterated Tikhonov.

Outline of Lecture 3:

- Block Krylov subspace methods, application to color image restoration
- Preconditining
- Iterated Tikhonov
- The method by Donatelli and Hanke


## Color image restoration

Color images are represented by three channels: red, green, and blue. Hyperspectral images have more "colors" and require more channels. See Hansen, Nagy, and O'Leary.

Consider $k$-channel images. Let $b^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2}}$ represent the blur- and noise-contaminated image in channel $i$, let $e^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2}}$ describe the noise.

The contaminated images of all channels $b^{(i)}$ can be represented by

$$
b=\left[\left(b^{(1)}\right)^{T}, \ldots,\left(b^{(k)}\right)^{T}\right]^{T} .
$$

The degradation model is of the form

$$
b=H x_{\text {exact }}+e,
$$

where

$$
H=A_{k} \otimes A \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} k \times n^{2} k}
$$

with

- $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times n^{2}}$ modelling within-channel blurring,
- $A_{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times k}$ modelling cross-channel blurring.

Determine approximation of $x_{\text {exact }}$ by computing approximate solution of

$$
H x=b .
$$

Alternatively, the contaminated images of all channels $b^{(i)}$ can be represented by

$$
B=\left[b^{(1)}, \ldots, b^{(k)}\right]
$$

Define the linear operator

$$
\mathcal{A}: \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times k} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times k}: \quad \mathcal{A}(X):=A X A_{k}^{T}
$$

The degradation model can be written as

$$
B=\mathcal{A}\left(X_{\text {exact }}\right)+E,
$$

where $X_{\text {exact }}=\left[x_{\text {exact }}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{\text {exact }}^{(k)}\right]$.
Let $B_{\text {exact }}=\mathcal{A}\left(X_{\text {exact }}\right)$. Denote $\mathcal{A}(X)$ by $A X$.

## Tikhonov regularization

Solve the minimization problem

$$
\min _{X}\left\{\|A X-B\|_{F}^{2}+\mu\|X\|_{F}^{2}\right\}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{F}$ denotes the Frobenius norm and $\mu>0$ is a regularization parameter.

The normal equations, which are obtained by requiring the gradient with respect to $X$ to vanish, are given by

$$
\left(A^{T} A+\mu I\right) X=A^{T} B
$$

They have the unique solution

$$
X_{\mu}=\left(A^{T} A+\mu I\right)^{-1} A^{T} B
$$

for any $\mu>0$. The discrepancy principle requires that $\mu>0$ be determined so that

$$
\left\|B-A X_{\mu}\right\|_{F}=\eta \delta, \quad \delta=\left\|B-B_{\text {exact }}\right\|_{F}
$$

This is possible for most reasonable $B$.

Solution methods

- Trival method: Compute approximate solution of each system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=b^{(j)}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently.

- Apply partial block Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization.
- Apply partial global Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization.
- Compute partial SVD of $A$ and apply to each system (1) independently

Block Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization (BGKB) Define the QR factorization

$$
B=P_{1} R_{1}
$$

where $P_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times k}$ has orthonormal columns, i.e.,

$$
P_{1}^{T} P_{1}=I
$$

and $R_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times k}$ is upper triangular.
$\ell$ steps of the BGKB applied to $A$ with initial block vector $P_{1}$ gives the decompositions

$$
A Q_{\ell}^{(k)}=P_{\ell+1}^{(k)} C_{\ell+1, \ell}^{(k)}, \quad A^{T} P_{\ell}^{(k)}=Q_{\ell}^{(k)} C_{\ell, \ell}^{(k)^{T}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\ell+1}^{(k)} & =\left[P_{\ell}^{(k)}, P_{\ell}\right]=\left[P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\ell+1}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times(\ell+1) k} \\
Q_{\ell}^{(k)} & =\left[Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{\ell}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times \ell k}
\end{aligned}
$$

have orthonormal columns, i.e.,

$$
\left(P_{\ell+1}^{(k)}\right)^{T} P_{\ell+1}^{(k)}=I, \quad\left(Q_{\ell}^{(k)}\right)^{T} Q_{\ell}^{(k)}=I .
$$

The lower block bidiagonal matrix

$$
C_{\ell+1, \ell}^{(k)}:=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{1} & & & \\
R_{2} & L_{2} & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & R_{\ell} & L_{\ell} \\
& & & R_{\ell+1}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{k(\ell+1) \times k \ell}
$$

has lower triagular blocks $L_{j} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times k}$ and upper triangular blocks $R_{j} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times k} ; C_{\ell, \ell}^{(k)} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \ell \times k \ell}$ is the leading submatrix of $C_{\ell+1, \ell}^{(k)}$.

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}\left(Q_{\ell}^{(k)}\right) & =\mathbf{K}_{\ell}\left(A^{T} A, A^{T} B\right) \\
& =\operatorname{span}\left\{A^{T} B,\left(A^{T} A\right) A^{T} B, \ldots\left(A^{T} A\right)^{\ell-1} A^{T} B\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $X=Q_{\ell}^{(k)} Y$ with $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{k \ell \times k \ell}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{X \in \mathbf{K}_{\ell}\left(A^{T} A, A^{T} B\right)}\left\{\|A X-B\|_{F}^{2}+\mu\|X\|_{F}^{2}\right\} \\
=\min _{Y \in \mathbf{R}^{k \ell \times k \ell}}\left\{\left\|A Q_{\ell}^{(k)} Y-B\right\|_{F}^{2}+\mu\|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right\} \\
= \\
\min _{Y \in \mathbf{R}^{k \ell \times k \ell}}\left\{\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell}^{(k)} Y-\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{F}^{2}+\mu\|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Solve by QR factorization of $C_{\ell+1, \ell}^{(k)}$.

Gives $Y_{\mu}$ and $X_{\mu}=P_{\ell}^{(k)} Y_{\mu}$. Determine $\mu>0$ by discrepancy principle, i.e., so that

$$
\left\|A X_{\mu}-B\right\|_{F}=\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell}^{(k)} Y_{\mu}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{F}=\eta \delta
$$

Requires that $\ell$ be sufficiently large and that error in $B$ is reasonable ( $<100 \%$ ). Then the desired $\mu>0$ is the unique solution of a nonlinear equation determined by the reduced problem.

## Global Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization (GGKB)

Define the matrix inner product

$$
\langle M, N\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(M^{T} N\right), \quad M, N \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times k}
$$

Then

$$
\|M\|_{F}=\langle M, M\rangle^{1 / 2}
$$

Application of $\ell$ steps of GGKB to $A$ with initial block vector $B$ determines the lower bidiagonal matrix

$$
C_{\ell+1, \ell}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\rho_{1} & & & & \\
\sigma_{2} & \rho_{2} & & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& & \sigma_{\ell-1} & \rho_{\ell-1} & \\
& & & \sigma_{\ell} & \rho_{\ell} \\
& & & & \sigma_{\ell+1}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{(\ell+1) \times \ell}
$$

and the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\ell+1}^{(k)} & =\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{\ell+1}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times(\ell+1) k}, \\
V_{\ell}^{(k)} & =\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times \ell k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U_{i}, V_{j} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times k}, U_{1}=B /\|B\|_{F}$, and

$$
\left\langle U_{i}, U_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle V_{i}, V_{j}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1 & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Let $C_{\ell, \ell}$ be the leading $\ell \times \ell$ submatrix of $C_{\ell+1, \ell}$. If $\ell$ is small enough so that no breakdown occurs, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right] & =U_{\ell+1}^{(k)}\left(C_{\ell+1, \ell} \otimes I_{k}\right), \\
A^{T}\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{\ell}\right] & =V_{\ell}^{(k)}\left(C_{\ell, \ell}^{T} \otimes I_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $A\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right]$ stands for $\left[\mathcal{A}\left(V_{1}\right), \mathcal{A}\left(V_{2}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{A}\left(V_{\ell}\right)\right] ;$ similarly for $A^{T} U_{j}$.

Determine an approximate solution of the form

$$
X=V_{\ell}^{(k)}\left(y \otimes I_{k}\right), \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^{\ell}
$$

of the Tikhonov minimization problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{X=V_{\ell}^{(k)}\left(y \otimes I_{k}\right)}\left\{\|A X-B\|_{F}^{2}+\mu\|X\|_{F}^{2}\right\} \\
& =\min _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{\ell}}\left\{\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell} y-e_{1}\right\| B\left\|_{F}^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|y\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the solution by $y_{\mu_{\ell}}$. Choose $\mu=\mu_{\ell}>0$ so that $y_{\mu_{\ell}}$ and therefore $X_{\mu_{\ell}}=V_{\ell}^{(k)}\left(y_{\mu_{\ell}} \otimes I_{k}\right)$ satisfy the discrepancy principle

$$
\left\|A X_{\mu_{\ell}}-B\right\|_{F}=\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell} y_{\mu_{\ell}}-e_{1}\right\| B\left\|_{F}^{2}\right\|_{2}=\eta \delta .
$$

## Standard Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization for multiple right-hand sides

The largest singular triplets of $A$ can be approximated well by carrying out a few GKB steps. This suggests the solution method:

- Apply $\ell$ bidiagonalization steps to $A$ with initial vector $b^{(1)}$. Gives decompositions

$$
A V_{\ell}=U_{\ell+1} C_{\ell+1, \ell}, \quad A^{T} U_{\ell}=V_{\ell} C_{\ell, \ell}^{T}
$$

with $V_{\ell} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times \ell}, U_{\ell+1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times(\ell+1)}$ such that $V_{\ell}^{T} V_{\ell}=I, U_{\ell+1}^{T} U_{\ell+1}=I$, and $U_{\ell} e_{1}=b /\|b\|_{2}$. Moreover, $C_{\ell+1, \ell} \in \mathbf{R}^{(\ell+1) \times \ell}$ lower bidiagonal.

- Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in \mathcal{R}\left(V_{\ell}\right)}\left\{\left\|A x-b^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|x\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
= & \min _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{\ell}}\left\{\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell} y-U_{\ell+1}^{T} b^{(1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|y\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine $\mu>0$ so that the solution $y_{\mu}$ satisfies the discrepancy principle

$$
\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell} y_{\mu}-U_{\ell+1}^{T} b^{(1)}\right\|_{2}=\eta \delta^{(1)}
$$

where $\delta^{(1)}$ is a bound for the error in $b^{(1)}$.

- Solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in \mathcal{R}\left(V_{\ell}\right)}\left\{\left\|A x-b^{(2)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|x\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
= & \min _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{\ell}}\left\{\left\|C_{\ell+1, \ell} y-U_{\ell+1}^{T} b^{(2)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|y\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If discrepancy principle cannot be satisfied, then increase $\ell$.

- Compute approximate solutions of

$$
A x=b^{(j)}, \quad j=3,4, \ldots, k
$$

similarly.

Computations require the columns of $U_{\ell+1}$ to be numerically orthonormal to be able to accurately compute the Fourier coefficients

$$
U_{\ell+1}^{T} b^{(j)}, \quad j=2,3, \ldots, k
$$

Example: Let matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{70^{2} \times 70^{2}}$ be determined by the function phillips in Regularization Tools by Hansen. The matrix is a discretization of a Fredholm integral equation of the first kind that describes a convolution on the interval $-6 \leq t \leq 6$. Generate 10 right-hand sides that model smooth functions. Add noise of same noise level to each right-hand side.

| Noise level | Method | MVP | Relative error | CPU time (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{-3}$ | BGKB | 100 | $1.46 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.30 |
|  | GGKB | 200 | $1.31 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.43 |
|  | 1 GKB | 16 | $2.28 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.31 |
|  | 10 GKBs | 162 | $1.43 \times 10^{-2}$ | 2.08 |
| $10^{-2}$ | BGKB | 80 | $2.54 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.24 |
|  | GGKB | 120 | $2.61 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.30 |
|  | 1 GKB | 10 | $2.52 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.19 |
|  | 10 GKBs | 140 | $2.60 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.32 |

Example: Restoration of a 3-channel RGB color image that has been contaminated by blur and noise. The corrupted image is stored in a block vector $B$ with three columns (one for each channel).

Original image (left), blurred and noisy image (right).


Restored image by BGKB (left), restored image by GGKB (right).


| Noise level | Method | MVP | Relative error | CPU-time (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{-3}$ | BGKB | 492 | $6.93 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.86 |
|  | GGKB | 558 | $6.85 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.95 |
|  | 1 GKB | 112 | $2.64 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.66 |
|  | 3 GKBs | 632 | $1.29 \times 10^{-1}$ | 6.55 |
| $10^{-2}$ | BGKB | 144 | $9.50 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.13 |
|  | GGKB | 156 | $9.44 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.12 |
|  | 1 GKB | 20 | $2.91 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.32 |
|  | 3 GKBs | 112 | $1.58 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.10 |

Example: We restore an image that has been contaminated by noise, within-channel blur, and cross-channel blur. Same within-channel blur as above. The cross-channel blur is defined by the cross-channel blur matrix

$$
A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\
0.15 & 0.1 & 0.75
\end{array}\right]
$$

More details in book by Hansen, Nagy, and O'Leary.

Example: Cross-channel blurred and noisy image (left), restored image by GGKB (right).


| Noise level | Method | MVP | Relative error | CPU-time (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{-3}$ | BGKB | 354 | $7.56 \times 10^{-2}$ | 2.74 |
|  | GGKB | 702 | $6.97 \times 10^{-2}$ | 4.99 |
|  | 1 GKB | 112 | $2.64 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.63 |
|  | 3 GKBs | 556 | $1.35 \times 10^{-1}$ | 5.77 |

Example: Restoration of a video (from MATLAB). We have 6 frames with $240 \times 240$ pixels each.

Frame no. 3: Original frame (left), blurred and noisy frame (right).


Frame no. 3: Restored frame by BGKB (left), and restored frame by GGKB (right).


| Noise level | Method | MVP | Relative error | CPU-time (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{-3}$ | BGKB | 1152 | $5.76 \times 10^{-2}$ | 8.72 |
|  | GGKB | 1188 | $5.66 \times 10^{-2}$ | 6.23 |
|  | 1 GKB | 130 | $1.19 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.69 |
|  | 6 GKBs | 1190 | $5.67 \times 10^{-2}$ | 10.79 |
| $10^{-2}$ | BGKB | 264 | $9.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.65 |
|  | GGKB | 228 | $9.53 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.21 |
|  | 1 GKB | 34 | $1.40 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.44 |
|  | 6 GKBs | 250 | $9.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | 2.22 |

The global Arnoldi method

Compute approximate solution of

$$
\min _{X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}}\left\|G-\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i} X B_{i}\right\|_{F}
$$

At least one of the matrices $A_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ and $B_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ of each pair $\left(A_{i}, B_{i}\right)$ is large and of ill-determined rank.

The matrix $G \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ represents available error-contaminated data, such as a blurred and noise-contaminated image.

Tikhonov regularization:
$\min _{X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}}\left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i} X B_{i}-G\right\|_{F}^{2}+\mu\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{q} L_{j}^{(1)} X L_{j}^{(2)}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right\}$,
where $L_{j}^{(1)} \in \mathbf{R}^{s \times m}$ and $L_{j}^{(2)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times t}$ are regularization matrices and $\mu>0$ is a regularization parameter.

Let $g=\operatorname{vec}(G) \in \mathbf{R}^{m n}$ and $x=\operatorname{vec}(X) \in \mathbf{R}^{m n}$. Define

$$
K=\sum_{i=1}^{p} B_{i}^{T} \otimes A_{i}, \quad L=\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left(L_{j}^{(2)}\right)^{T} \otimes L_{j}^{(1)}
$$

with $\otimes$ denoting the Kronecker product. For matrices $C \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ and $D \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, we have

$$
C \otimes D=\left[c_{i j} D\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{m n \times m n} .
$$

Then the Tikhonov minimization problem can be written in the form

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{m n}}\left\{\|K x-g\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|L x\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Define operator:

$$
\mathcal{A}: \mathbf{R}^{m \times n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}: \quad X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i} X B_{i} .
$$

$k$ steps of the global Arnoldi method applied to $\mathcal{A}$ with initial matrix $G$ determines the decomposition

$$
\left[\mathcal{A}\left(V_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{A}\left(V_{k}\right)\right]=\mathcal{V}_{k+1}\left(H_{k+1, k} \otimes I_{n}\right)
$$

where $H_{k+1, k}=\left[h_{i, j}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{(k+1) \times k}$ is upper Hessenberg,
$\mathcal{V}_{k+1}=\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{k+1}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n(k+1)}, V_{1}=G /\|G\|_{F}$, and $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k+1}$ is an $F$-orthonormal basis for the global Krylov subspace

$$
\mathbf{K}_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}, G)=\operatorname{span}\left\{G, \mathcal{A}(G), \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{k}(G)\right\}
$$

The global Arnoldi algorithm

1. Let $V_{1}=G /\|G\|_{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$;
2. for $j=1, \ldots, k$ do
2.1. $V=\mathcal{A}\left(V_{j}\right)$;
2.3. for $i=1, \ldots, j$ do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i, j}=\left\langle V, V_{i}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& V=V-h_{i, j} V_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.4. end for

$$
\text { 2.5. } h_{j+1, j}=\|V\|_{F}
$$

$$
\text { 2.6. } V_{j+1}=V / h_{j+1, j} \text {; }
$$

3. end for

An element $X_{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ in the global Krylov subspace $\mathbf{K}_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}, G)$ can be written as

$$
X_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{k}^{(i)} V_{i}=\mathcal{V}_{k}\left(y_{k} \otimes I_{n}\right)
$$

where $y_{k}=\left[y_{k}^{(1)}, y_{k}^{(2)}, \ldots, y_{k}^{(k)}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$.
Moreover,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{A}\left(X_{k}\right)-G\right\|_{F}=\left\|H_{k+1, k} y_{k}-\right\| G\left\|_{F} e_{1}\right\|_{2} .
$$

Let

$$
M_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} L_{j}^{(1)} V_{i} L_{j}^{(2)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{q} L_{j}^{(1)} X_{k} L_{j}^{(2)}\right\|_{F}^{2} & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{k} y_{k}^{(i)} y_{k}^{(j)} \operatorname{trace}\left(M_{i}^{T} M_{j}\right) \\
& =y_{k}^{T} N y_{k}=\left\|R y_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \quad N=R^{T} R .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $N$ is singular, use spectral factorization instead of Choleski factorization.

The matrix Tikhonov regularization problem with solution restricted to $X \in \mathbf{K}_{k}(\mathcal{A}, G)$ can be written as

$$
\min _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{k}}\left\{\left\|H_{k+1, k} y-\right\| G\left\|_{F} e_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|R y\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

The discrepancy principle prescribes that $\mu>0$ be chosen so that

$$
\left\|H_{k+1, k} y-\right\| G\left\|_{F} e_{1}\right\|_{2}=\eta \delta
$$

where

$$
E=G-G_{\text {exact }}, \quad \delta=\|E\|_{F}, \quad \eta>1 .
$$

Computed examples
Let

$$
\nu=\frac{\|E\|_{F}}{\left\|G_{\text {exact }}\right\|_{F}}
$$

and define the square regularization matrices

$$
L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrc}
1 & -1 & & & & 0 \\
& 1 & -1 & & & \\
& & 1 & -1 & & \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & & 1 & -1 \\
0 & & & & & 0
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}
$$

and

$$
L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & & \\
& -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n} .
$$

Example. Restoration of the image peppers, which is represented by $256 \times 256$ pixels. We let $p=1$ and $q=1$. The available image $G$ is corrupted by Gaussian blur and additive zero-mean white Gaussian noise. The blurring matrix $A_{1}=\left[a_{i, j}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{256 \times 256}$ is Toeplitz with entries

$$
a_{i, j}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{(i-j)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right), & |i-j| \leq d \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

with $d=7$ and $\sigma=2$. We let $B_{1}=A_{1}$.

Restoration of peppers, noise level $\nu=1 \cdot 10^{-2}$.

| method | $\left(L_{1}^{(1)}, L_{1}^{(2)}\right)$ | $k$ | CPU time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | relative <br> error $e_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ | 16 | 2.34 | $9.59 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| GA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ | 16 | 1.42 | $9.59 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| SA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ | 15 | 2.18 | $9.64 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| GA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ | 15 | 1.13 | $9.64 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| SA | $\left(L_{2}, L_{2}\right)$ | 14 | 2.14 | $9.70 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| GA | $\left(L_{2}, L_{2}\right)$ | 14 | 1.08 | $9.70 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |

Blurred and noisy image


Restored image


## Convergence history



Example. Restoration of the image cameraman, which is represented by $512 \times 512$ pixels. We let $p=2$ and $q=1$. The blurring operator is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}(X)=A_{1} X B_{1}+A_{2} X B_{2}
$$

where $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ are Toeplitz matrices of the same form as previously. The matrix $G$ represents the blurred and noisy image. The noise is white Gaussian.

Restoration of cameraman, noise level $\nu=1 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

| method | $\left(L_{1}^{(1)}, L_{1}^{(2)}\right)$ | $k$ | CPU time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | relative <br> error $e_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ | 17 | $1.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| GA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ | 17 | $1.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.21 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| SA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ | 16 | $9.23 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| GA | $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ | 16 | $9.23 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.22 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| SA | $\left(L_{2}, L_{2}\right)$ | 9 | $1.33 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $2.66 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| GA | $\left(L_{2}, L_{2}\right)$ | 9 | $1.33 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $2.66 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
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## Iterated Tikhonov regularization

Tikhonov regularization in standard form

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

with $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, and $\mu>0$. has a unique solution

$$
x_{\mu}=\left(A^{T} A+\mu I\right)^{-1}\left(A^{T} b+\mu x_{0}\right) .
$$

The discrepancy principle prescribes that $\mu_{\text {discr }}=\mu>0$ be chosen so that

$$
\left\|A x_{\mu_{\mathrm{discr}}}-b\right\|_{2}=\eta \delta
$$

where $\eta>1$ is independent of $\delta:=\left\|b-b_{\text {exact }}\right\|_{2}$.

Tikhonov regularization in general form:

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\left\|L\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

$\mu>0$ regularization parameter, $L \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$ regularization matrix, $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Assume that

$$
\mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(L)=\{0\}
$$

Then the minimization problem has the unique solution

$$
x_{\mu}=\left(A^{T} A+\mu L^{T} L\right)^{-1}\left(A^{T} b+\mu L^{T} L x_{0}\right)
$$

for any $\mu>0$.

The discrepancy principle prescribes that $\mu_{L, \text { discr }}=\mu>0$ be chosen so that

$$
\left\|A x_{\mu_{L, \mathrm{discr}}}-b\right\|_{2}=\eta \delta .
$$

The use of a suitable $L \neq I$ may enhance the quality of the computed approximation of $x_{\text {true }}$ considerably.

## Iterated Tikhonov regularization in standard

 form:Let

$$
h=x-x_{0}, \quad r_{0}=b-A x_{0} .
$$

Tikhonov regularization in standard form:

$$
\min _{h \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A h-r_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|h\|_{2}^{2}\right\},
$$

where

$$
h \approx x_{\text {exact }}-x_{0}, \quad x_{\text {exact }} \approx x_{1}:=x_{0}+h .
$$

Repeated application of this refinement strategy gives

## Algorithm:

Given $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$
for $k=0,1, \ldots$ do

1. compute $r_{k}=b-A x_{k}$,
2. solve $\min _{h \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A h-r_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu_{k}\|h\|_{2}^{2}\right\}$ to obtain $h_{k}$,
3. update $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+h_{k}$,
where $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \ldots$ denotes a sequence of positive regularization parameters.

The iterates can be expressed as

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\left(A^{T} A+\mu_{k} I\right)^{-1} A^{T}\left(b-A x_{k}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

The iteration method is said to be stationary when $\mu_{k}=\mu$ for all $k$, and nonstationary otherwise.

A common choice of regularization parameters for nonstationary iteration is

$$
\mu_{k}=\mu_{0} q^{k}, \quad \mu_{0}>0, \quad 0<q<1
$$

The iterations can be terminated by the discrepancy principle, i.e., as soon as

$$
\left\|A x_{k}-b\right\| \leq \eta \delta
$$

Nonstationay iterated Tikhonov regularization in standard form is known to generally determine more accurate approximations of $x_{\text {true }}$ than (standard) Tikhonov regularization in standard form.

Nonstationary iterated Tikhonov regularization with a general regularization matrix:
$x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\left(A^{T} A+\mu_{k} L^{T} L\right)^{-1} A^{T}\left(b-A x_{k}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots$

This method combines the advantages of using a regularization matrix $L \neq I$ with those of nonstationary Tikhonov regularization.

## A computed example:

Let $M \in \mathbf{R}^{300 \times 300}$ determined discretization of the integral equation of the first kind "shaw" using software in Regularization Tool by Hansen. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{c}
M \\
M
\end{array}\right], \quad b \in \mathbf{R}^{600}, \quad \text { relative error } 0.1 \%, \\
& L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{299 \times 300} \quad \text { bidiagonal. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Compute approximate solution using projection into generalized Krylov subspace.

Algorithm 1:

1. Input: $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, L \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}, \eta>1$, and $\delta$;
2. Initialize: Columns of $V_{0}$ form orthonormal basis for Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{\ell}\left(A^{T} A, A^{T} b\right)$ for $\ell$ small; $y_{0}=0 \in \mathbf{R}^{\ell}$;
3 . for $k=1,2, \ldots$ until convergence
3. Let $\bar{y}_{k}=\left[y_{k-1}^{T}, 0\right]^{T}$
4. Determine $\mu_{k}$ so that $y_{k}$ satisfies $\left\|A V_{k} y_{k}-b\right\|=\eta \delta$
5. Compute $r_{k}=A^{T} A V_{k} y_{k}+\mu_{k}^{-1} L^{T} L V_{k}\left(y_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)-A^{T} b$
6. $\quad$ Normalize $v_{k+1}=r_{k} /\left\|r_{k}\right\|$
7. Enlarge search space $V_{k+1}=\left[V_{k}, v_{k+1}\right]$
8. end for
9. Output: approximate solution $x_{k}=V_{k} y_{k}$ and $\mu_{k}$


Figure 1: Convergence of regularization parameters for standard Tikhonov (left), and nonstationary iterated Tikhonov (right).


Figure 2: Convergence of computed solutions for standard Tikhonov (left), and nonstationary iterated Tikhonov (right).



Figure 3: Computed solutions at convergence for standard Tikhonov (left), and nonstationary iterated Tikhonov (right).

Transformation to standard form:
Let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ and $L \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{h \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A h-r_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|L h\|_{2}^{2}\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the $A$-weighted generalized inverse of $L$ :

$$
L_{A}^{\dagger}=\left(I-\left(A\left(I-L^{\dagger} L\right)\right)^{\dagger} A\right) L^{\dagger}
$$

Proposition (Buccini): Let $A$ and $L$ be square, of the same size, and commute. Then $L_{A}^{\dagger}=L^{\dagger}$.

Assume that the conditions of the propositionns hold. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{h} & =L h, \\
h^{(0)} & =\left(A\left(I-L^{\dagger} L\right)\right)^{\dagger} r_{0}, \\
\bar{r}_{0} & =r_{0}-A h^{(0)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (2) can be expressed in standard form

$$
\min _{\bar{h} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A L^{\dagger} \bar{h}-\bar{r}_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|\bar{h}\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Denote the solution by $\bar{h}_{\mu}$.

The solution of the minimization problem in general form is

$$
h_{\mu}=L^{\dagger} \bar{h}_{\mu}+h^{(0)} .
$$

Stationary iterated Tikhonov with general penalty term:

Algorithm 2: Let $\mu>0$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots \\
& \quad r_{k}=b-A x_{k} \\
& \quad \text { if }\left\|r_{k}\right\|_{2}<\eta \delta \text { exit } \\
& \quad x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\left(A^{T} A+\mu L^{T} L\right)^{-1} A^{T} r_{k} \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

Convergene analysis for square matrices $A$ and $L$ :
Define the splitting

$$
\mathbf{R}^{n}=\mathcal{N}(L) \oplus \mathcal{N}(L)^{\perp}
$$

We will study convergence in these subspaces separately.

The minimization problem with $\mu>0$

$$
\min _{h \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A h-r_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|L h\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

has the solution

$$
h_{k}=\left(A^{T} A+\mu L^{T} L\right)^{-1} A^{T} r_{k}
$$

Transformation to standard form with $\bar{A}=A L^{\dagger}$ yields

$$
h_{k}=h_{k}^{\perp}+h_{k}^{(0)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k}^{(0)} & =\left(A\left(I-L^{\dagger} L\right)\right)^{\dagger} r_{k} \\
\bar{r}_{k} & =r_{k}-A h_{k}^{(0)} \\
h_{k}^{\perp} & =L^{\dagger}\left(\bar{A}^{T} \bar{A}+\mu I\right)^{-1} \bar{A}^{T} \bar{r}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma:

$$
h_{k}^{\perp} \in \mathcal{N}(L)^{\perp}, \quad h_{k}^{(0)} \in \mathcal{N}(L), \quad k=0,1, \ldots .
$$

Consider the splitting

$$
x_{k}=x_{k}^{(0)}+x_{k}^{\perp},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k}^{(0)} & =x_{0}^{(0)}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} h_{j}^{(0)} \in \mathcal{N}(L) \\
x_{k}^{\perp} & =x_{0}^{\perp}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} h_{j}^{\perp} \in \mathcal{N}(L)^{\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition: Let $x_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k}^{\perp} & \rightarrow P_{\mathcal{N}(L)^{\perp}}\left(A^{\dagger} b\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \\
x_{k}^{(0)} & =P_{\mathcal{N}(L)}\left(A^{\dagger} b\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Convergence result of interest for error-free problems:

Theorem 1: Let the matrices $A$ and $L$ be square and of the same size, and let their nullspaces intersect trivially. Let $x_{0}=0$. Then the iterates determined by Algorithm 2 converge to the minimum norm solution $A^{\dagger} b$ of the linear system of equations $A x=b$.

Convergence result of interest for error contaminated problems:

Theorem 2: Let the assumptions of the above theorem hold. Then Algorithm 2 terminates after finitely many, $k=k_{\delta}$, steps and

$$
\limsup _{\delta \searrow 0}\left\|x_{\text {true }}-x_{k_{\delta}}\right\|_{2}=0
$$

Extensions to rectangular matrices $A$ and $L$ :

- A rectangular: make square by zero-padding.
- $L \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$ rectangular:
- $p<n$ : make square by zero-padding,
- $p>n$ : compute QR factorization $L=Q R$, $R \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$. Use $R$ instead of $L$.

Nonstationary iterated Tikhonov with general $A$ and $L$ :

Consider the iterations
$x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\left(A^{T} A+\mu_{k} L^{T} L\right)^{-1} r_{k}, \quad r_{k}=b-A x_{k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots$
and assume

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{k}^{-1}=\infty
$$

Algorithm 3: Let $\mu>0$ and let $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be an available initial approximation of $x_{\text {true }}$. Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots \\
& \qquad r_{k}=b-A x_{k} \\
& \quad \text { if }\left\|r_{k}\right\|_{2}<\eta \delta \text { exit } \\
& x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\left(A^{T} A+\mu_{k} L^{T} L\right)^{-1} A^{T} r_{k} \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3: Let the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and let the regularization parameters satisfy $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{k}^{-1}=\infty$. Then the iterates determined by Algorithm 3 converge to the minimum norm solution $A^{\dagger} b$ of the linear system of equations $A x=b$.

Theorem 4: Let the assumptions of the above theorem hold. Then Algorithm 3 terminates after finitely many, $k=k_{\delta}$, steps and

$$
\limsup _{\delta \searrow 0}\left\|x_{\text {true }}-x_{k_{\delta}}\right\|_{2}=0
$$

## Computed examples:

Example 1: Problem baart from Regularization Tools. Discretize integral equation of the first kind,

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \exp (s \cos (t)) x(t) d t=2 \frac{\sinh (s)}{s}, \quad 0<s \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

Gives $A \in \mathbf{R}^{1000 \times 1000}$ and $b_{\text {true }} \in \mathbf{R}^{1000}$. Add $1 \%$ noise to $b_{\text {true }}$ to obtain error-contaminated right-hand side $b$.

Use regularization matrices $L=I$ or

$$
L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 1 \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right], L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & & & \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
& & & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}\left(L_{1}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left([1,1, \ldots, 1]^{T}\right), \\
& \mathcal{N}\left(L_{2}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left([1,1, \ldots, 1]^{T},[1,2, \ldots, 1000]^{T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We report the relative error $\left\|x_{k}-x_{\text {true }}\right\|_{2} /\left\|x_{\text {true }}\right\|_{2}$ in the approximate solution $x_{k}$ computed with Algorithm 3.

$$
\mu_{k}=\mu_{0} q^{k}, \quad q=0.8, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

| $L$ | $\mu_{0}$ | $\left\\|x_{k}-x_{\text {true }}\right\\|_{2} /\left\\|x_{\text {true }}\right\\|_{2}$ | $\#$ iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $10^{-2}$ | 0.17131 | 4 |
| $L_{1}$ | $10^{2}$ | 0.12331 | 3 |
| $L_{2}$ | $10^{6}$ | 0.04290 | 2 |

Example 2: Problem gravity from Regularization Tools: Discretize integral equation of the first kind,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{\left(d^{2}+(s-t)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} x(t) d t=g(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

with $d=1 / 4$ and $g$ chosen so that

$$
x(t)=\sin (\pi t)+\frac{1}{2} \sin (2 \pi t) .
$$

Gives $A \in \mathbf{R}^{1000 \times 1000}$ and $b_{\text {true }} \in \mathbf{R}^{1000}$. Add $1 \%$ Gaussian noise to $b_{\text {true }}$ to obtain error-contaminated right-hand side $b$.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
L & \mu_{0} & \left\|x_{k}-x_{\text {true }}\right\|_{2} /\left\|x_{\text {true }}\right\|_{2} & \# \text { iterations } \\
\hline I & 10^{-2} & 0.17001 & 2 \\
L_{1} & 10^{2} & 0.10165 & 2 \\
L_{2} & 10^{6} & 0.08148 & 2
\end{array}
$$

Example 3: Image restoration problem. Define

$$
L_{1}^{c}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 1 \\
1 & & & -1
\end{array}\right], L_{2}^{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1 & & & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & & & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

as well as

$$
L_{1}=L_{1}^{c} \otimes I+I \otimes L_{1}^{c}, \quad L_{2}=L_{2}^{c} \otimes I+I \otimes L_{2}^{c}
$$

where $\otimes$ denotes Kronecker product.


Figure 4: (a) Uncontaminated image ( $512 \times 512$ pixels), (b) blur- and noise-contaminated image. Error $3 \%$.


Figure 5: PSF ( $25 \times 25$ pixels) models motion blur.

Restoration of "peppers" by Algorithm 3, $\mu_{0}=1$.
Matrix-vector products can be evaluated quickly with the aid of the FFT.

| $L$ | $\left\\|x_{k}-x_{\text {true }}\right\\|_{2} /\left\\|x_{\text {true }}\right\\|_{2}$ | \# iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 0.10743 | 7 |
| $L_{1}$ | 0.09368 | 4 |
| $L_{2}$ | 0.08516 | 3 |



Figure 6: Restorations determined by Algorithm 3 with (a) $L=I$, (b) $L=L_{1}$.


Figure 7: Restorations determined by Algorithm 3 with $L=L_{2}$.

Preconditioning

Tikhonov regularization is closely related to preconditiing. Let the regularization matrix $L$ be square and nonsingular. Then Tikhonov regularization in general form

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|L x\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

easily can be transformed to standard form by letting
$x=L y$ :

$$
\min _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A L^{-1} y-b\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|y\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

The matrix $A$ is right-preconditioned by $L^{-1}$.

When $L$ is not square, we can replace $L^{-1}$ above by the $A$-weighted generalized inverse of $L$ :

$$
L_{A}^{\dagger}=\left(I-\left(A\left(I-L^{\dagger} L\right)\right)^{\dagger} A\right) L^{\dagger} .
$$

Thus, we solve the minimization problem

$$
\min _{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\{\left\|A L_{A}^{\dagger} y-b\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu\|y\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

The matrix $A$ is right-preconditioned by $L_{A}^{\dagger}$.

Note: The "preconditioner" should not be an accurate approximation of $A^{\dagger}$, because this would result in a large propagetd error (stemming from the error in $b$ ) in the computed solution.

We conclude that the "preconditioner"

- should approximate $A$ well enough to make it possible to determine an accurate approximation of $x_{\text {exact }}$ in a solution subspace of low dimension,
- should not approximate $A$ well enough to cause propagation and amplification of the error in $b$ into the computed approximation of $x_{\text {exact }}$.

We describe a method by Donatelli and Hanke that achieves these goals.

The method by Donatelli and Hanke
We would like to compute an approximate solution of the discrete ill-posed problem

$$
A x=b
$$

where the singular values of $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ "cluster" at the origin.

The normal equations for Tikhonov regularization

$$
\left(A^{T} A+\mu I\right) x=A^{T} b
$$

determine the approximation $x_{\mu}$ of $x_{\text {exact }}$, where $\mu>0$ is a regularization parameter.

Assume the normal equations are expensive to solve. Let $C \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ approximate $A$ and be such that

$$
\left(C^{T} C+\mu I\right) h=C^{T} b
$$

is easier to solve.
Donatelli and Hanke proposed the method: Let $x^{(0)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and repeat
for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ until discrepancy principle satisfied:

1. $r^{(k)}=b-A x^{(k)}$
2. $h^{(k)}=C^{T}\left(C C^{T}+\mu_{k} I\right)^{-1} r^{(k)}$
3. $x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}+h^{(k)}$
end

Some observations:

- When $C=A$ and

$$
\mu_{k}=\alpha q^{k}, \quad \alpha>0, \quad 0<q<1, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

the iterations are identical with nonstationary iterated Tikhonov regularization.

- Iterated Tikhonov is an iterative refinement procedure. We terminate early due to the discrepancy principle. This introduces an error. Replacing $A$ by $C$ introduces another error.
- Convergence analysis of the method requires that for some $0<\rho<1 / 2$,

$$
\|(C-A) x\|_{2} \leq \rho\|A x\|_{2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}
$$

This inequality may be difficult to verify. It leads to that

$$
\left\|r_{k}-C\left(x_{\text {exact }}-x_{k}\right)\right\|_{2}<(1-\rho)\left\|r_{k}\right\|_{2}
$$

The latter inequality has been verified in image restoration applications.

In image restoration applications with a space invariant point spread function

- $A$ is a block-Toeplitz-Toeplitz-block matrix, except for the boundary conditions that may destroy some of the structure,
- $C$ is a block-circulant-circulant-block matrix.

This allows fast evaluation of $A x^{(k)}$ and $C^{T}\left(C C^{T}+\mu_{k}\right)^{-1} r^{(k)}$ with the FFT.

