

Twist-3 Effects In Semi-Inclusive DIS

Marc Schlegel, Andreas Metz, Klaus Goeke


Institute for Theoretical Physics II
Ruhr-University Bochum

Outline of the talk

- Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (SIDIS)
- Parametrization of Correlators (I)
- Gauge Links
- Twist-3 Observables
- Parametrization of Correlators (II)

Semi-inclusive DIS

Collision of leptons and hadrons




Kinematical invariants:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q^2 &= -Q^2 \\
 x_B &= \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q} \\
 y &= \frac{P \cdot q}{l \cdot P} \\
 z_h &= \frac{P_h \cdot P}{q \cdot P}
 \end{aligned}$$

Infinite momentum frame: P^+ large!

Hadronic tensor



Correlators

$$\Phi_{ij}(x, \vec{p}_T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\xi^- d^2\xi_T e^{ip \cdot \tilde{\xi}} \langle P, S | \bar{\Psi}_j(0) \mathcal{L}^{[+]}[0, \tilde{\xi}] \Psi_i(\tilde{\xi}) | P, S \rangle$$

$$\Delta_{ij}(z, \vec{k}_T) = \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+}{2\pi} \frac{d^2\xi_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik \cdot \xi'} \langle 0 | \mathcal{L}^{[-]}[0, \xi'] \Psi_i(\xi') | P_h, S_h; X \rangle \langle X; P_h, S_h | \bar{\Psi}_j(0) | 0 \rangle$$

Parametrization of Correlators (I)

- The correlators $\Phi_{ij}(x, \vec{p}_T)$ and $\Delta_{ij}(z, \vec{k}_T)$ are matrices in Dirac-space.

⇒ Decomposition into the 16 covariant basis matrices $\mathbb{1}, \gamma_5, \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\mu \gamma_5, \sigma^{\mu\nu} / i\sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5$.

$$(\Phi^{[\Gamma]}(x, \vec{p}_T) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\Phi(x, \vec{p}_T) \Gamma])$$

$$\Phi_{ij}(x, \vec{p}_T) = \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{[\gamma^\mu]} \gamma^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{[\gamma^\mu \gamma_5]} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 - \frac{1}{4} \Phi^{[i\sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5]} i\sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{[\mathbb{1}]} \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{[i\gamma_5]} i\gamma_5$$

⇓

Coefficients are the transverse-momentum dependent (TMD) parton distributions / fragmentation functions.

- Historically, TMD correlators were parametrized using an “unintegrated” correlator

$$\Phi_{ij}(p; P, S) \equiv \int \frac{d^4 \xi}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip \cdot \xi} \langle P, S | \bar{\Psi}_j(0) \mathcal{L}[0, \xi | \text{path}] \Psi_i(\xi) | P, S \rangle$$

Connection to TMD correlators: $\Phi_{ij}(x, \vec{p}_T) = \int dp^- \Phi_{ij}(p; P, S) |_{p^+ = xP^+}$.

⇓

Parametrization of the “unintegrated” correlator

1. [Ralston, Soper](#) [Nucl. Phys. B 152 (1979) 109]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(p; P, S) &= A_1 \mathbb{1} + A_2 \not{P} + A_3 \not{p} + A_4 \gamma_5 \not{S} + A_5 [\not{P}, \not{S}] \gamma_5 \\ &\quad + A_6 [\not{p}, \not{S}] \gamma_5 + A_7 (p \cdot S) \not{P} \gamma_5 + A_8 (p \cdot S) \not{p} \gamma_5\end{aligned}$$

Eight amplitudes $A_i = A_i(p^2, p \cdot P)$, structures are **constraint by** ($\bar{A} = (A_0, -\vec{A})$)

$$\Phi^\dagger(p; P, S) = \gamma_0 \Phi(p; P, S) \gamma_0 \quad (\text{Hermiticity})$$

$$\Phi(p; P, S) = \gamma_0 \Phi(\bar{p}; \bar{P}, -\bar{S}) \gamma_0 \quad (\text{Parity})$$

$$\Phi^*(p; P, S) = (-i\gamma_5 C) \Phi(\bar{p}; \bar{P}, -\bar{S}) (-i\gamma_5 C) \quad (\text{Time reversal}).$$

$$\implies \Phi^{[\gamma^+]}(x, \vec{p}_T) = 2P^+ \int dp^- (A_2 + x A_3) \equiv f_1(x, \vec{p}_T)$$

2. [Mulders, Tangerman](#) [Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 197]:

Addition of **three more structures** $\sigma^{\mu\nu} P_\mu p_\nu$, $(p \cdot S) i\gamma_5$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu P^\nu p^\rho S^\sigma$ which do not obey **time reversal** constraint + one T-even structure $A_9 (p \cdot S) [\not{P}, \not{p}] \gamma_5$

↓

Parton Distributions which are extracted from these structures: **T-odd PDFs!**

Gauge links


- Inclusion of gauge links preserves **color gauge invariance**.

$$\mathcal{L}[a, b|\text{path}] \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ -ig \int_a^b ds^\mu A_\mu(s) \right\}$$


- Gauge link affects **observables**.

Single Spin Asymmetry A_{UT} : [Brodsky, Hwang, Schmidt, Phys. Lett. B 530, 99 (2002)]

Model calculation:



$$A_{UT} = \frac{\sigma^\uparrow - \sigma^\downarrow}{\sigma^\uparrow + \sigma^\downarrow} = 0$$





$$A_{UT} \propto J_{(a,0)} \text{Im}[J_{(a,1)}] \neq 0$$

\implies Entire effect due to **rescattering**

- Non-vanishing asymmetry

⇒ Explanation [Collins, Phys. Lett. B 536, 43 (2002)]: T-odd Sivers-function f_{1T}^\perp contains a gauge link, makes f_{1T}^\perp non-vanishing.



- Consequence for the parametrization of $\Phi(p; P, S)$:

Time reversal constraint $\Phi^*(p; P, S) = (-i\gamma_5 C)\Phi(\bar{p}; \bar{P}, -\bar{S})(-i\gamma_5 C)$ doesn't hold.

- T-odd structures not the only consequence of the gauge link.

Goeke, Metz, Poblitsa, Polyakov [Phys. Lett. B 567 (2003) 27]:

TMD correlator $\Phi(x, \vec{p}_T)$ depends implicitly on a light cone vector n due to the gauge link.

⇒ The unintegrated $\Phi(p; P, S)$ also depends on n !





Parametrization has to take this into account, addition of light cone dependent, spin independent structures

$$\frac{\not{n}}{(P \cdot n)}, \frac{[\not{P}, \not{n}]}{(P \cdot n)} \text{ and } \frac{[\not{p}, \not{n}]}{(P \cdot n)}.$$



Twist-3 observables

- Gauge link also affects the longitudinal single spin asymmetries A_{UL} and A_{LU} due to “rescattering”
Model calculation: [Afanasev, Carlson, hep-ph/0308163]



⇒ non-zero result for the beam spin asymmetry A_{LU} .

- Model calculation not complete [Metz, Schlegel, Eur. Phys. J. A 22, 489 (2004)]
 Electromagnetic gauge invariance demands additional diagrams:



Gauge invariance condition at tree-level: $q_\mu (J_{(a,0)}^\mu + J_{(b,0)}^\mu) = 0$

Gauge invariance condition for imaginary parts: $q_\mu (Im[J_{(a,1)}^\mu] + Im[J_{(b,1)}^\mu]) = 0$

- Leading twist asymmetry A_{UT} : additional diagrams are suppressed with $\frac{1}{Q^2}$.
Subleading twist asymmetries A_{UL}, A_{LU} : contributions from both diagrams.

$$\Rightarrow \text{non-vanishing result : } \boxed{A_{UL} \neq 0}, \boxed{A_{LU} \neq 0}$$

- Interpretation:
 Spectator model not **compatible** with parton model, factorization.
 A_{LU} and A_{UL} should contain additional terms.
- Model-independent analysis: [Bacchetta, Mulders, Pijlman, Phys. Lett. B 595 (2004) 309]:

Additional term in the parametrization of $\Phi(p; P, S|n)$

$$\Phi(p; P, S|n) = MA_1 \mathbb{1} + \dots + B_1 \frac{M^2 \not{n}}{P \cdot n} + B_2 \frac{iM[\not{P}, \not{n}]}{2(P \cdot n)} + B_3 \frac{iM[\not{p}, \not{n}]}{2(P \cdot n)} + B_4 \frac{1}{(P \cdot n)} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 P^\nu n^\rho p^\sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{generates a new T-odd, twist 3 PDF } \boxed{g^\perp(x, \vec{p}_T) = 2P^+ \int dp^- B_4}!$$

Confirmation of the model result:

$$\boxed{A_{LU, jet}^{\sin \phi_h} = \frac{M^2}{Q} \frac{2y\sqrt{1-y}}{1-y + \frac{y^2}{2}} \frac{x_B g^\perp(1)}{f_1}}$$

$$\boxed{A_{UL, jet}^{\sin \phi_h} = -\frac{M^2}{Q} \frac{2(2-y)\sqrt{1-y}}{1-y + \frac{y^2}{2}} \frac{x_B f_L^\perp(1)}{f_1}}$$

Parametrization of Correlators (II)

- Up to this point: only spin-independent light-cone structures of the parametrization of $\Phi(p; P, S|n)$ have been presented.

What happens if spin is included? [Goeke, Metz, Schlegel, Phys. Lett. B 618 (2005) 90]:

⇓

16 additional structures including the **light-cone vector** n and **spin vector** S .

Altogether, there are 32(!) structures of $\Phi(p; P, S|n)$ restricted by hermiticity and parity, 12 T-odd structures.

- In order to avoid redundant terms, make use of the identity

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = g^{\mu\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma} + g^{\nu\beta} \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\rho\sigma} + g^{\rho\beta} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\sigma} + g^{\sigma\beta} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha}$$

- Twist classification: convenient to use Sudakov decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu &= P^+ n_+^\mu + \frac{M^2}{2P^+} n_-^\mu, \\ p^\mu &= x P^+ n_+^\mu + p^- n_-^\mu + p_T^\mu \\ S^\mu &= \lambda \frac{P^+}{M} n_+^\mu - \lambda \frac{M}{2P^+} n_-^\mu + S_T^\mu \end{aligned}$$

- **Result:** two new twist-3 parton distributions e_T^\perp and $f_T^\perp / f_T^{\perp'}$, all T-odd!

Twist-2 parametrization:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[\gamma^+]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= f_1 - \frac{(\vec{p}_T \times \vec{S}_T)}{M} f_{1T}^\perp \\ \Phi[\gamma^+ \gamma_5]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= \lambda g_{1L} + \frac{\vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{S}_T}{M} g_{1T} \\ \Phi[is^{i+} \gamma_5]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= S_T^i h_{1T} + \frac{p_T^i}{M} \left(\lambda h_{1L}^\perp + \frac{\vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{S}_T}{M} h_{1T}^\perp \right) - \frac{\varepsilon_T^{ij} p_{Tj}}{M} h_1^\perp\end{aligned}$$


Twist-3 parametrization:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[1]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \left[e - \frac{(\vec{p}_T \times \vec{S}_T)}{M} e_T^\perp \right] \\ \Phi[\gamma^i]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \left[\frac{p_T^i}{M} \left(f^\perp - \frac{(\vec{p}_T \times \vec{S}_T)}{M} f_T^{\perp'} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_T^{ij} p_{Tj}}{M} \left(\lambda f_L^\perp + \frac{\vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{S}_T}{M} f_T^\perp \right) \right] \\ \Phi[\gamma^i \gamma_5]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \left[S_T^i g_T' + \frac{p_T^i}{M} \left(\lambda g_L^\perp + \frac{\vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{S}_T}{M} g_T^\perp \right) - \frac{\varepsilon_T^{ij} p_{Tj}}{M} g^\perp \right] \\ \Phi[is^{+-} \gamma_5]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \left[\lambda h_L + \frac{\vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{S}_T}{M} h_T \right] \\ \Phi[is^{ij} \gamma_5]_{(x, \vec{p}_T)} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \left[\frac{S_T^i p_T^j - p_T^i S_T^j}{M} h_T^\perp - \varepsilon_T^{ij} h \right]\end{aligned}$$

- In [Mulders, Tangerman], the trace $\Phi^{[\gamma^i]}$ contains a term $\varepsilon_T^{ij} S_{Tj} f_T$.
 \Rightarrow Can be eliminated by means of the identity $\vec{p}_T^2 \varepsilon_T^{ij} S_{Tj} = -p_T^i (\vec{p}_T \times \vec{S}_T) + \varepsilon_T^{ij} p_{Tj} (\vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{S}_T)$
- **Number of PDFs = number of amplitudes** \implies no linear dependence between PDFs in terms of amplitudes, no Lorentz-invariance relations.
- A little “catalogue” of all PDFs and amplitudes

	# T-even	# T-odd	# total
Twist-2 PDFs	6	2	8
Twist-3 PDFs	8	8	16
Twist-4 PDFs	6	2	8
Amplitudes A_i	20	12	32

- Where do new PDFs show up?



$$2MW_{tree}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^2 p_T d^2 k_T \delta^{(2)}(\vec{p}_T + \vec{q}_T - \vec{k}_T) Tr[\Phi(x_B, \vec{p}_T) \gamma^\mu \Delta(z_h, \vec{k}_T) \gamma^\nu]$$

e_T^\perp enters the double polarized cross section σ_{LT} multiplied with H_1^\perp .

$f_T^{\perp'}$ and f_T^\perp enter the transversely polarized cross section σ_{UT} multiplied with D_1 .

-
- For the “integrated” correlator $\Phi_{ij}(x) = \int d^2 p_T \Phi_{ij}(x, \vec{p}_T)$, **time-reversal constraint** holds. \implies **no** T-odd “integrated” PDFs.

\Downarrow

Constraint on some of the T-odd PDFs:

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^2 p_T e_L(x, \vec{p}_T^2) &= 0 \\ \int d^2 p_T \vec{p}_T^2 \left(f_T^{\perp'}(x, \vec{p}_T^2) + f_T^{\perp}(x, \vec{p}_T^2) \right) &= 0 \\ \int d^2 p_T h(x, \vec{p}_T^2) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Summary

- The general structure of the fully unintegrated correlator $\Phi(p; P, S|n)$ was derived. This made it possible to write down the most general form of the transverse momentum dependent correlator $\Phi(x, \vec{p}_T, S)$ appearing in the description of various hard scattering processes. Two new twist-3, T-odd parton distributions were found.
- The gauge link which is contained in the definition of the correlators influences their parametrization.
 1. It invalidates the time-reversal constraint and enables T-odd structures.
 2. It generates an additional dependence of the correlators on a lightcone vector n . This adds new structures to the parametrization.