

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

# Galois Invariance, Trace and Subfield Subcodes

Andrea Previtali  
(joint with M. Giorgetti)

Department of Physics and Mathematics  
Università de L'Insubria-Como, Italy

Milan, 2 July 2009

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- 1 Linear Codes
- 2 Restriction Functor
- 3 Extension Functor
- 4 Trace Codes
- 5 Galois Invariance

- Given a field  $E$  and an integer  $n$ , a **linear code** is a subspace  $L$  of  $E^n$
- We call  $n$  the **length** of  $L$
- If  $L$  has dimension  $k$  and **minimum distance**  $d$ , we call  $L$  a  $(n, k, d)$ -code
- We may consider  $n = n(L)$ ,  $k = k(L)$  and  $d = d(L)$  as functions of  $L$

- Given a field  $E$  and an integer  $n$ , a **linear code** is a subspace  $L$  of  $E^n$
- We call  $n$  the **length** of  $L$
- If  $L$  has dimension  $k$  and **minimum distance**  $d$ , we call  $L$  a  $(n, k, d)$ -code
- We may consider  $n = n(L)$ ,  $k = k(L)$  and  $d = d(L)$  as functions of  $L$

- Given a field  $E$  and an integer  $n$ , a **linear code** is a subspace  $L$  of  $E^n$
- We call  $n$  the **length** of  $L$
- If  $L$  has dimension  $k$  and **minimum distance**  $d$ , we call  $L$  a  $(n, k, d)$ -code
- We may consider  $n = n(L)$ ,  $k = k(L)$  and  $d = d(L)$  as functions of  $L$

- Given a field  $E$  and an integer  $n$ , a **linear code** is a subspace  $L$  of  $E^n$
- We call  $n$  the **length** of  $L$
- If  $L$  has dimension  $k$  and **minimum distance**  $d$ , we call  $L$  a  $(n, k, d)$ -code
- We may consider  $n = n(L)$ ,  $k = k(L)$  and  $d = d(L)$  as functions of  $L$

- Assume  $K$  subfield of  $E$
- Consider  $C = L \cap K^n$ ,  $C$  is a  $K$ -linear code of length  $n$
- What about  $k(C)$  and  $d(C)$ ?
- We would like to study the **restriction map**

$$\text{Res} : L \mapsto L \cap K^n$$

from the category of  $E$ -linear to the category of  $K$ -linear codes

- Assume  $K$  subfield of  $E$
- Consider  $C = L \cap K^n$ ,  $C$  is a  $K$ -linear code of length  $n$
- What about  $k(C)$  and  $d(C)$ ?
- We would like to study the **restriction map**

$$\text{Res} : L \mapsto L \cap K^n$$

from the category of  $E$ -linear to the category of  $K$ -linear codes

- Assume  $K$  subfield of  $E$
- Consider  $C = L \cap K^n$ ,  $C$  is a  $K$ -linear code of length  $n$
- What about  $k(C)$  and  $d(C)$ ?
- We would like to study the restriction map

$$\text{Res} : L \mapsto L \cap K^n$$

from the category of  $E$ -linear to the category of  $K$ -linear codes

- Assume  $K$  subfield of  $E$
- Consider  $C = L \cap K^n$ ,  $C$  is a  $K$ -linear code of length  $n$
- What about  $k(C)$  and  $d(C)$ ?
- We would like to study the **restriction map**

$$\text{Res} : L \mapsto L \cap K^n$$

from the category of  $E$ -linear to the category of  $K$ -linear codes

- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K) = C_{\text{Aut}(E)}(K)$
- Any  $\gamma \in G$  extends to a  $K$ -linear map of  $E^n$  via

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\gamma := (x_1^\gamma, \dots, x_n^\gamma).$$

- Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Res}(L^\gamma)$ , but in general  $L \neq L^\gamma$

- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K) = C_{\text{Aut}(E)}(K)$
- Any  $\gamma \in G$  extends to a  $K$ -linear map of  $E^n$  via

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\gamma := (x_1^\gamma, \dots, x_n^\gamma).$$

- Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Res}(L^\gamma)$ , but in general  $L \neq L^\gamma$

- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K) = C_{\text{Aut}(E)}(K)$
- Any  $\gamma \in G$  extends to a  $K$ -linear map of  $E^n$  via

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\gamma := (x_1^\gamma, \dots, x_n^\gamma).$$

- Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Res}(L^\gamma)$ , but in general  $L \neq L^\gamma$

# Automorphism Invariance

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- Define  $L_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} L^\gamma$ , the  **$G$ -core** of  $L$ 
  - $L_G$  is  $G$ -invariant
  - $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = L_G$
  - Then  $\text{Res}(L_G) = \text{Res}(L)$
  - $\text{Res}$  may be injective only on  $G$ -invariant codes

# Automorphism Invariance

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- Define  $L_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} L^\gamma$ , the  **$G$ -core** of  $L$
- $L_G$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = L_G$
- Then  $\text{Res}(L_G) = \text{Res}(L)$
- $\text{Res}$  may be injective only on  $G$ -invariant codes

# Automorphism Invariance

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- Define  $L_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} L^\gamma$ , the  **$G$ -core** of  $L$
- $L_G$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = L_G$
- Then  $\text{Res}(L_G) = \text{Res}(L)$
- $\text{Res}$  may be injective only on  $G$ -invariant codes

# Automorphism Invariance

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- Define  $L_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} L^\gamma$ , the  **$G$ -core** of  $L$
- $L_G$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = L_G$
- Then  $\text{Res}(L_G) = \text{Res}(L)$
- $\text{Res}$  may be injective only on  $G$ -invariant codes

# Automorphism Invariance

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- Define  $L_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} L^\gamma$ , the **G-core** of  $L$
- $L_G$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = L_G$
- Then  $\text{Res}(L_G) = \text{Res}(L)$
- $\text{Res}$  may be injective only on  $G$ -invariant codes

# (Counter)Example

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$
- $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^3 = 2$
- $G = \{id_E\}$
- $L = E(1, \alpha) \leq E^2$
- Then  $L_G = L$  but  $Res(L) = 0 = Res(0)$

# (Counter)Example

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$
- $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^3 = 2$
- $G = \{id_E\}$
- $L = E(1, \alpha) \leq E^2$
- Then  $L_G = L$  but  $Res(L) = 0 = Res(0)$

# (Counter)Example

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$
- $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^3 = 2$
- $G = \{id_E\}$
- $L = E(1, \alpha) \leq E^2$
- Then  $L_G = L$  but  $Res(L) = 0 = Res(0)$

# (Counter)Example

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$
- $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^3 = 2$
- $G = \{id_E\}$
- $L = E(1, \alpha) \leq E^2$
- Then  $L_G = L$  but  $Res(L) = 0 = Res(0)$

# (Counter)Example

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $K = \mathbb{Q}$
- $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^3 = 2$
- $G = \{id_E\}$
- $L = E(1, \alpha) \leq E^2$
- Then  $L_G = L$  but  $Res(L) = 0 = Res(0)$

- Assume  $E/K$  is **Galois**
- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ , then  $K = C_E(G)$
- Define  $\text{Ext}(C) = E \otimes_K C$
- $\text{Ext}$  defines a functor from  $K$ -linear codes to  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes

- Assume  $E/K$  is **Galois**
- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ , then  $K = C_E(G)$
- Define  $\text{Ext}(C) = E \otimes_K C$
- $\text{Ext}$  defines a functor from  $K$ -linear codes to  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes

- Assume  $E/K$  is **Galois**
- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ , then  $K = C_E(G)$
- Define  $\text{Ext}(C) = E \otimes_K C$
- $\text{Ext}$  defines a functor from  $K$ -linear codes to  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes

- Assume  $E/K$  is **Galois**
- Let  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ , then  $K = C_E(G)$
- Define  $\text{Ext}(C) = E \otimes_K C$
- $\text{Ext}$  defines a functor from  $K$ -linear codes to  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes

- Theorem

*$E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then  $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  iff  $L$  admits a basis in  $K^n$ .*

- Obviously  $\text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L = L_G$ ,  $b$  Gauss-Jordan reduced normalized basis

$$b_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots)$$

lie in  $K^n$

- Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then  $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  iff  $L$  admits a basis in  $K^n$ .*

- Obviously  $\text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L = L_G$ ,  $b$  Gauss-Jordan reduced normalized basis

$$b_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots)$$

lie in  $K^n$

- Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then  $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  iff  $L$  admits a basis in  $K^n$ .*

- Obviously  $\text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L = L_G$ ,  $b$  Gauss-Jordan reduced normalized basis

$$b_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots)$$

lie in  $K^n$

- **Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then  $L$  is  $G$ -invariant iff  $L = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  iff  $L$  admits a basis in  $K^n$ .*

- Obviously  $\text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$  is  $G$ -invariant
- $L = L_G$ ,  $b$  Gauss-Jordan reduced normalized basis

$$b_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots)$$

lie in  $K^n$

- Corollary

$$L_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$$

- *Ext* and *Res* are inverse maps from the category of  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes and  $K$ -linear codes
- Different proof using cohomology tools
- Cohomology is just sophisticated linear algebra

- **Corollary**

$$L_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$$

- *Ext* and *Res* are inverse maps from the category of  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes and  $K$ -linear codes
- Different proof using cohomology tools
- Cohomology is just sophisticated linear algebra

- Corollary

$$L_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$$

- *Ext* and *Res* are inverse maps from the category of  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes and  $K$ -linear codes
- Different proof using cohomology tools
- Cohomology is just sophisticated linear algebra

- Corollary

$$L_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$$

- *Ext* and *Res* are inverse maps from the category of  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes and  $K$ -linear codes
- Different proof using cohomology tools
- Cohomology is just sophisticated linear algebra

- Corollary

$$L_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(L))$$

- *Ext* and *Res* are inverse maps from the category of  $G$ -invariant  $E$ -linear codes and  $K$ -linear codes
- Different proof using cohomology tools
- Cohomology is just sophisticated linear algebra

- $E/K$  Galois,  $\text{Tr}$  the **Trace** map extends to  $E^n$

$$\text{Tr}((c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (\text{Tr}(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}(c_n))$$

- Define  $\text{Tr}(L) = \{\text{Tr}(c) : c \in L\} \leq K^n$
- Dual code  $L^\perp = \{v \in E^n : L \cdot v^t = 0\}$

- Theorem (Delsarte, 1975)

*Let  $E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code, then*

$$\text{Res}(L)^\perp = \text{Tr}(L^\perp)$$

- $E/K$  Galois,  $\text{Tr}$  the **Trace** map extends to  $E^n$

$$\text{Tr}((c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (\text{Tr}(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}(c_n))$$

- Define  $\text{Tr}(L) = \{\text{Tr}(c) : c \in L\} \leq K^n$
- Dual code  $L^\perp = \{v \in E^n : L \cdot v^t = 0\}$

- Theorem (Delsarte, 1975)

*Let  $E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code, then*

$$\text{Res}(L)^\perp = \text{Tr}(L^\perp)$$

- $E/K$  Galois,  $\text{Tr}$  the **Trace** map extends to  $E^n$

$$\text{Tr}((c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (\text{Tr}(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}(c_n))$$

- Define  $\text{Tr}(L) = \{\text{Tr}(c) : c \in L\} \leq K^n$
- Dual code  $L^\perp = \{v \in E^n : L \cdot v^t = 0\}$

- Theorem (Delsarte, 1975)

*Let  $E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code, then*

$$\text{Res}(L)^\perp = \text{Tr}(L^\perp)$$

- $E/K$  Galois,  $\text{Tr}$  the **Trace** map extends to  $E^n$

$$\text{Tr}((c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (\text{Tr}(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}(c_n))$$

- Define  $\text{Tr}(L) = \{\text{Tr}(c) : c \in L\} \leq K^n$
- Dual code  $L^\perp = \{v \in E^n : L \cdot v^t = 0\}$

- Theorem (Delsarte, 1975)

*Let  $E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code, then*

$$\text{Res}(L)^\perp = \text{Tr}(L^\perp)$$

- $E/K$  Galois,  $\text{Tr}$  the **Trace** map extends to  $E^n$

$$\text{Tr}((c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (\text{Tr}(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}(c_n))$$

- Define  $\text{Tr}(L) = \{\text{Tr}(c) : c \in L\} \leq K^n$
- Dual code  $L^\perp = \{v \in E^n : L \cdot v^t = 0\}$

- **Theorem (Delsarte, 1975)**

*Let  $E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code, then*

$$\text{Res}(L)^\perp = \text{Tr}(L^\perp)$$

- Both  $Res(L)$  and  $Tr(L)$  are  $K$ -linear codes
- How are they related?
- Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $E = K(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^p = x$
- Then  $E/K$  is an inseparable extension
- $Tr(L) = 0$  for any  $E$ -linear code
- But  $Res(E^n) = K^n \neq 0$

# Trace and Restriction

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- Both  $Res(L)$  and  $Tr(L)$  are  $K$ -linear codes
- How are they related?
  - Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $E = K(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^p = x$
  - Then  $E/K$  is an inseparable extension
  - $Tr(L) = 0$  for any  $E$ -linear code
  - But  $Res(E^n) = K^n \neq 0$

- Both  $Res(L)$  and  $Tr(L)$  are  $K$ -linear codes
- How are they related?
- Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $E = K(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^p = x$ 
  - Then  $E/K$  is an inseparable extension
  - $Tr(L) = 0$  for any  $E$ -linear code
  - But  $Res(E^n) = K^n \neq 0$

- Both  $Res(L)$  and  $Tr(L)$  are  $K$ -linear codes
- How are they related?
- Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $E = K(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^p = x$
- Then  $E/K$  is an inseparable extension
- $Tr(L) = 0$  for any  $E$ -linear code
- But  $Res(E^n) = K^n \neq 0$

- Both  $Res(L)$  and  $Tr(L)$  are  $K$ -linear codes
- How are they related?
- Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $E = K(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^p = x$
- Then  $E/K$  is an inseparable extension
- $Tr(L) = 0$  for any  $E$ -linear code
- But  $Res(E^n) = K^n \neq 0$

- Both  $\text{Res}(L)$  and  $\text{Tr}(L)$  are  $K$ -linear codes
- How are they related?
- Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,  $E = K(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^p = x$
- Then  $E/K$  is an inseparable extension
- $\text{Tr}(L) = 0$  for any  $E$ -linear code
- But  $\text{Res}(E^n) = K^n \neq 0$

- Let  $|E : K| = 2$ , a quadratic extension with  $\text{char } K \neq 2$
- $E = K[\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha^2 = a \in K$  and  $L = Ev$ ,  $v = (1, \alpha)$
- Then  $\text{Tr}(v) = (2, 0)$  and  $\text{Tr}(\alpha v) = (0, 2a)$
- Thus  $\text{Tr}(C) = K^2$  while  $\text{Res}(C) = 0$

- Let  $|E : K| = 2$ , a quadratic extension with  $\text{char } K \neq 2$
- $E = K[\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha^2 = a \in K$  and  $L = Ev$ ,  $v = (1, \alpha)$
- Then  $\text{Tr}(v) = (2, 0)$  and  $\text{Tr}(\alpha v) = (0, 2a)$
- Thus  $\text{Tr}(C) = K^2$  while  $\text{Res}(C) = 0$

- Let  $|E : K| = 2$ , a quadratic extension with  $\text{char } K \neq 2$
- $E = K[\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha^2 = a \in K$  and  $L = Ev$ ,  $v = (1, \alpha)$
- Then  $\text{Tr}(v) = (2, 0)$  and  $\text{Tr}(\alpha v) = (0, 2a)$
- Thus  $\text{Tr}(C) = K^2$  while  $\text{Res}(C) = 0$

- Let  $|E : K| = 2$ , a quadratic extension with  $\text{char } K \neq 2$
- $E = K[\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha^2 = a \in K$  and  $L = Ev$ ,  $v = (1, \alpha)$
- Then  $\text{Tr}(v) = (2, 0)$  and  $\text{Tr}(\alpha v) = (0, 2a)$
- Thus  $\text{Tr}(C) = K^2$  while  $\text{Res}(C) = 0$

- $E/K$  separable,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then

$$\text{Res}(C) \leq \text{Tr}(C)$$

- For  $v \in K^n$ ,  $\lambda \in E$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(\lambda v) = \text{Tr}(\lambda)v.$$

- $\alpha \in E$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$
- Take  $v \in \text{Res}(C) = C \cap K^n$ , then  $v = \text{Tr}(\alpha v) \in \text{Tr}(C)$

# Separable Extensions

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $E/K$  separable,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then

$$Res(C) \leq Tr(C)$$

- For  $v \in K^n$ ,  $\lambda \in E$ ,

$$Tr(\lambda v) = Tr(\lambda)v.$$

- $\alpha \in E$  such that  $Tr(\alpha) = 1$
- Take  $v \in Res(C) = C \cap K^n$ , then  $v = Tr(\alpha v) \in Tr(C)$

## Separable Extensions

Linear Codes

Restriction  
FunctorExtension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $E/K$  separable,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then

$$\text{Res}(C) \leq \text{Tr}(C)$$

- For  $v \in K^n$ ,  $\lambda \in E$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(\lambda v) = \text{Tr}(\lambda)v.$$

- $\alpha \in E$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$
- Take  $v \in \text{Res}(C) = C \cap K^n$ , then  $v = \text{Tr}(\alpha v) \in \text{Tr}(C)$

# Separable Extensions

Galois

Previtali

Linear Codes

Restriction  
Functor

Extension  
Functor

Trace Codes

Galois  
Invariance

- $E/K$  separable,  $L \leq E^n$ . Then

$$\text{Res}(C) \leq \text{Tr}(C)$$

- For  $v \in K^n$ ,  $\lambda \in E$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(\lambda v) = \text{Tr}(\lambda)v.$$

- $\alpha \in E$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$
- Take  $v \in \text{Res}(C) = C \cap K^n$ , then  $v = \text{Tr}(\alpha v) \in \text{Tr}(C)$

- $E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L = L_G \leq E^n$ , then

$$\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$$

- $\text{Tr}(c) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} c^\gamma \in L$
- $\text{Tr}(c) \in K^n$ , then  $\text{Tr}(c) \in \text{Res}(L)$
- Does the converse hold?

- $E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L = L_G \leq E^n$ , then

$$\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$$

- $\text{Tr}(c) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} c^\gamma \in L$
- $\text{Tr}(c) \in K^n$ , then  $\text{Tr}(c) \in \text{Res}(L)$
- Does the converse hold?

- $E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L = L_G \leq E^n$ , then

$$\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$$

- $\text{Tr}(c) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} c^\gamma \in L$
- $\text{Tr}(c) \in K^n$ , then  $\text{Tr}(c) \in \text{Res}(L)$
- Does the converse hold?

- $E/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ ,  $L = L_G \leq E^n$ , then

$$\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$$

- $\text{Tr}(c) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} c^\gamma \in L$
- $\text{Tr}(c) \in K^n$ , then  $\text{Tr}(c) \in \text{Res}(L)$
- Does the converse hold?

- For any  $v \in E^n$ ,  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$
- $B(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr}(\lambda\mu)$  defines a non-degenerate bilinear  $K$ -form on  $E$
- Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  **trace-dual**  $K$ -bases of  $E$

$$\text{Tr}(\mu_k \lambda_j) = \delta_{kj}$$

- Let  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j$
- Then  $\sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k a_i) = \sum_k a_{ik} \lambda_k = a_i$
- Thus  $v = \sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k v) \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$

- For any  $v \in E^n$ ,  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$
- $B(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr}(\lambda\mu)$  defines a non-degenerate bilinear  $K$ -form on  $E$
- Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  **trace-dual**  $K$ -bases of  $E$

$$\text{Tr}(\mu_k \lambda_j) = \delta_{kj}$$

- Let  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j$
- Then  $\sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k a_i) = \sum_k a_{ik} \lambda_k = a_i$
- Thus  $v = \sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k v) \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$

- For any  $v \in E^n$ ,  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$
- $B(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr}(\lambda\mu)$  defines a non-degenerate bilinear  $K$ -form on  $E$
- Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  **trace-dual**  $K$ -bases of  $E$

$$\text{Tr}(\mu_k \lambda_j) = \delta_{kj}$$

- Let  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j$
- Then  $\sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k a_i) = \sum_k a_{ik} \lambda_k = a_i$
- Thus  $v = \sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k v) \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$

- For any  $v \in E^n$ ,  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$
- $B(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr}(\lambda\mu)$  defines a non-degenerate bilinear  $K$ -form on  $E$
- Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  **trace-dual**  $K$ -bases of  $E$

$$\text{Tr}(\mu_k \lambda_j) = \delta_{kj}$$

- Let  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j$
- Then  $\sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k a_i) = \sum_k a_{ik} \lambda_k = a_i$
- Thus  $v = \sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k v) \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$

- For any  $v \in E^n$ ,  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$
- $B(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr}(\lambda\mu)$  defines a non-degenerate bilinear  $K$ -form on  $E$
- Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  **trace-dual**  $K$ -bases of  $E$

$$\text{Tr}(\mu_k \lambda_j) = \delta_{kj}$$

- Let  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j$
- Then  $\sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k a_i) = \sum_k a_{ik} \lambda_k = a_i$
- Thus  $v = \sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k v) \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$

- For any  $v \in E^n$ ,  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$
- $B(\lambda, \mu) := \text{Tr}(\lambda\mu)$  defines a non-degenerate bilinear  $K$ -form on  $E$
- Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  **trace-dual**  $K$ -bases of  $E$

$$\text{Tr}(\mu_k \lambda_j) = \delta_{kj}$$

- Let  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j$
- Then  $\sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k a_i) = \sum_k a_{ik} \lambda_k = a_i$
- Thus  $v = \sum_k \lambda_k \text{Tr}(\mu_k v) \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev))$

- **Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- **Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- **Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- **Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- **Theorem**

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- Theorem

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- Theorem

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$

- Theorem

*$E/K$  Galois,  $L$  a  $E$ -linear code. Then  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  iff  $L = L_G$  is Galois invariant*

- We claim  $\text{Res}(L) = \text{Tr}(L)$  forces  $L = L_G$
- $L$  is a counterexample of minimum dimension
- Then  $\dim(L/L_G) = 1$  and  $L = L_G \oplus Ev$
- Now  $\text{Tr}(L_G) = \text{Tr}(L) = \text{Tr}(L_G) + \text{Tr}(Ev)$
- So  $\text{Tr}(Ev) \leq \text{Tr}(L_G)$
- But  $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Ev)) \leq \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(L_G)) = L_G$  against  $L_G \neq L$