



# Irreducible constituents of monomial representations

Andrea Previtali\*

*Dipartimento di Fisica e Matematica, Università dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio, 11 Como–22100, Italy*

Received 24 May 2005; accepted 8 September 2006

Available online 24 October 2006

---

## Abstract

We describe an algorithm for obtaining the central primitive idempotents of the algebra associated with a monomial representation. As a consequence, we obtain its irreducible constituents. This is implemented in MAGMA, using an algorithm based on Dixon's modular approach. In the case of permutation representations, we get a simplified version of the algorithms of Michler and Weller.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Ordinary representations and characters; Computational methods; Symbolic computation, algebraic computation

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ . Denote by  $V$  the permutation module afforded by right multiplication by  $G$  of the right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . In Michler (2001) Michler has described an algorithm yielding the irreducible constituents of the permutation character  $\pi = (1_H)^G$  afforded by  $V$ , provided that  $\pi$  is multiplicity-free. In Michler and Weller (2002) Michler and Weller extend Michler's algorithm to any permutation character.

In this paper we extend further this algorithm to monomial characters. Our approach follows closely that of Michler and Weller. However, we introduce several theoretical and computational simplifications.

---

\* Corresponding address: University of Insubria-Como, Dipartimento di Fisica e Matematica, Via Valleggio, 11 Room: V4.26, 22100 Como, Italy. Tel.: +39 031 2386316; fax: +39 031 2386119.

*E-mail address:* [andrea.previtali@uninsubria.it](mailto:andrea.previtali@uninsubria.it).

*URL:* <http://scienze-como.uninsubria.it/previtali/Research.html>.

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be as above and let  $T$  denote a right transversal of  $H$  in  $G$ . We may define a diagonal action of  $G$  on  $T \times T$  and call the orbits of this action *orbitals*. As is well known, there is a one-to-one correspondence between orbitals and the orbits of  $H$  on  $T$ . The latter are called *suborbitals*. We can associate with any orbital a matrix, called the *adjacency matrix* of the orbital. Let  $\mu$  be a linear character of  $H$ ,  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$ , and  $V$  the  $FG$ -module affording  $\mu^G$ . We distinguish a subset of orbitals which we call  $\mu$ -central and show that  $C = \text{End}_G(V)$  is generated as a vector space by matrices that are in one-to-one correspondence with this subset. Define the *support* of a matrix as the list of positions corresponding to a non-zero entry. Then with any  $\mu$ -central orbital we associate a generator for  $C$  whose support is the same as that of the adjacency matrix corresponding to the chosen orbital. Using the right regular representation for  $C$  with respect to this basis, we calculate  $Z = \mathbf{Z}(C)$ . Notice that this reduction is most effective when  $H$  is far from being a normal subgroup of  $G$ . In fact, the dimension of  $C$  is bounded above by the number of orbitals of  $G$ , or, equivalently, by the number of  $(H, H)$ -double cosets of  $G$ . This number coincides with the index of  $H$  in  $G$  if and only if  $H$  is a normal subgroup. We further reduce dimensions considering the right regular representation for  $Z$ .

In Chillag (1995, Theorem 1.1), Chillag proved that any semisimple, finite dimensional, commutative  $E$ -algebra  $A$ , with  $E$  a separable infinite field, is a *one-generator algebra*, that is  $A = E[a]$  for some  $a \in A$ . We generalize this result to any separable field provided  $|E| > \dim_E(A)$ .

We specialize to  $A = Z$  and  $E = F$ . So  $Z = F[z]$ , for some  $z \in Z$ . Using a *probabilistic* approach we determine  $z$  and use it to provide common eigenvectors for the elements of  $Z$ . This drastically improves on algorithms based on the determination of common eigenvectors, such as those of McKay, Dixon, and Schneider (see McKay (1970), Dixon (1967) and Schneider (1990)). These authors work with the regular permutation representation of  $G$ . In this situation  $Z$  is generated by the *conjugacy class sums* and the entries of the character table of  $G$  occur as components of common eigenvectors for  $Z$ . Applying Brauer's Theorem to splitting fields of group representations, we show that the eigenvalues of  $z$  belong to  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ , where  $\zeta_e$  is a primitive  $e$ th root of 1,  $e = \text{Exp}(G)$ .

As pointed out in Dixon (1967), it may not be possible to determine the eigenvalues of  $z$  when  $e$  is big. We find the smallest prime  $p$  satisfying  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$  and  $p > \max(2|G : H|, \dim_F(Z))$ . Set  $L = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Letting  $l = |H/\ker(\mu)|$ , we define a homomorphism  $\theta_l$  from  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l]$  into  $L$  mapping  $\zeta_l$  to  $\varepsilon_l$ , where  $|\varepsilon_l| = l$ . We extend  $\theta_l$  to matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l]$  and obtain a *modular representation* for  $G$  over  $L$  composing the monomial representation  $M$  with  $\theta_l$ . We find a generator for the center of the centralizer in the modular case. The existence of this generator is assured by the condition  $p > \dim_F(Z)$ . We lift the generator to an element  $z \in Z$ . A critical point is proving that in this reduction the dimension of the center is preserved and this is a consequence of the Brauer–Nesbitt Theorem (see Huppert (1998, Proposition 39.10)).

It turns out that  $z$  has distinct eigenvalues and hence is a generator for  $Z$ . We determine the *Lagrange* polynomials associated with its eigenvalues. Evaluating these polynomials in  $\theta_l(z)$  provides the primitive central idempotents for  $Z$ . Pick  $\varepsilon_e \in L$  such that  $|\varepsilon_e| = e$  and define an algebra homomorphism  $\theta_e$  from  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$  into  $L$  via  $\theta_e(f(\zeta_e)) = \overline{f}(\varepsilon_e)$ , where  $\overline{f}$  is the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $f$  (see Dixon (1967)).

Using a direct trace calculation and some more information on the shape of the regular image of  $C$ , we obtain the image, via  $\theta_e$ , of the character values of the irreducible constituents of the monomial character on any given conjugacy class. This requires determining some coefficients that generalize those obtained by Gollan and Ostermann (see Gollan and Ostermann (1990)). Finally we lift these values back into  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ . Notice that this requires knowledge of the conjugacy

classes and the power maps of  $G$ . We improve further Dixon's modular approach to the local lifting of such values. Namely in order to evaluate the character of an irreducible constituent on  $g \in G$ , we apply a *discrete Fourier transform* in  $Q(\zeta_m)$ , with  $m = |g|$ , instead of  $Q(\zeta_e)$ . We then coerce the output into the smallest cyclotomic field containing it. The latter task is not automatically implemented in MAGMA.

We specialize this analysis to the case when  $\mu = 1_H$  and recover the results of Michler and Weller. However, our approach is simpler, in that we do not need to refer to association schemes. The direct trace calculation also allows us to avoid referring to Proposition 12.12 in Landrock's book (see Landrock (1983)).

Finally, we point out how the irreducible constituents obtained may be used to complete the character table of  $G$ . This turns out to be quite effective when  $G$  is a simple group. In this case our algorithm provides a collection of faithful characters to which we may apply various techniques: the Brauer–Burnside Theorem, the Schur Symmetrization Theorem, the Murnaghan orthogonal and symplectic splitting, the LLL, the MLLL, and the PSLQ algorithm and the Plesken Lattice Embedding Theorem (see Breuer (2002), Lenstra et al. (1982), Pohst (1987), and Ferguson et al. (1999)). In particular the integer relation finding algorithm MLLL, a version of LLL for not necessarily linearly independent vectors, has been implemented in the GAP 4.4.6 program system (see The GAP Group (2005, Ch. 25 and 69)). A similar technique has recently been implemented in MAGMA 2.12.19 by Unger (see Unger (2006)). He applies LLL to characters induced from suitable subgroups using a result of Brauer (see Huppert (1998, Theorem 34.2)).

The algorithm in this paper has been implemented in a stand-alone program written in MAGMA (see Bosma and Cannon (2005)) and may be downloaded from the author's Web-page together with some experimental data included in the tables of the last section. In this paper we provide a rough description of the algorithm implemented in MAGMA. As far as we know this conversion has not been carried out systematically by Michler and Weller. Their code could be used to get generators of  $Z$  as integral matrices. However, their methods are comparatively inefficient; they need to feed these matrices into MAPLE, calculate common eigenvectors, fetch them back into MAGMA and apply their formula to get constituents. Their algorithm assumes that the ground field is a cyclotomic extension of the rationals. This might require a prohibitive amount of time if  $G$  has large exponent.

We follow the notation in Isaacs (1976), Huppert (1998) and Graham et al. (1989). Given a ring  $R$  and an integer  $n$ ,  $(R)_n$  denotes the ring of matrices over  $R$  of degree  $n$  and  $1_n$  is the identity in  $(R)_n$ . Given integers  $a, b, n$ , by  $a \equiv_n b$  we mean  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and by  $a \perp b$  we mean  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .  $\text{Irr}(G|\chi)$  is the set of irreducible characters of  $G$  occurring as constituents of the character  $\chi$ . Given an algebraic element  $\alpha$  over some field  $E$ ,  $\min_E(\alpha)$  denotes the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $E$ . Given a group element  $g$  we denote by  $|g|$  its order.

## 2. Orbitals, generalized intersection matrices and centralizer algebras

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ . From a theoretical point of view  $G$  may be any group, but for computational reasons we will always assume that  $G$  is given as a permutation group of not too large degree (experimental data show that  $10^6$  might be a reasonable bound). Fix a right transversal  $T$  for  $H$  in  $G$ , so  $G = \bigsqcup_{t \in T} Ht$ . Then  $G$  acts via right multiplication on  $T$ . We denote by  $\cdot$  this action, namely  $t \cdot g$  is the unique element in  $Ht g \cap T$ . The recipe

$$(t, s) \cdot g = (t \cdot g, s \cdot g)$$

endows  $T \times T$  with a  $G$ -set structure. We call the orbits of  $G$  on  $T \times T$  *orbitals*. Since  $G$  acts transitively on the  $H$ -cosets by right multiplication, orbitals are in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits of  $H$  on  $T$  (*suborbits*). In fact, the correspondence is given by  $(1, x) \cdot G \leftrightarrow x \cdot H$ . Moreover, any orbital  $(1, x) \cdot G$  corresponds to the unique double coset  $HxH$ . Let  $X$  denote a set of double-coset representatives. For example  $X$  can be obtained as follows. Fix an ordering in  $T$ , set  $t_1 = 1_G$ , and identify it with the set  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $n = |G : H|$ . Let  $\{1\} = \mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_d$  be the suborbits, set  $m_i = \min(\mathcal{O}_i)$  and let  $x_i$  be a fixed element such that  $1 \cdot x_i = m_i$ ; then  $X = \{x_i\}$  and  $|X| = d$ .

**Definition 1.** Given an orbital  $\Lambda$ , define  $a_\Lambda \in (\mathbb{Z})_n$  such that its  $(t, s)$ -entry is 1 if  $(t, s) \in \Lambda$ , 0 otherwise. We call  $a_\Lambda$  the *adjacency matrix* associated with  $\Lambda$ .

**Definition 2.** Given a matrix  $a$ , we define the *support* of  $a$ ,  $\text{Supp}(a)$ , as the set  $\{(s, t) : a_{st} \neq 0\}$ .

Let  $\langle v \rangle$  be the one-dimensional module for  $H$  affording  $\mu$ , namely  $vh = \mu(h)v$ . Set  $K = \ker(\mu)$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^* \ni \zeta_l$  has order  $l = |H/K|$ . Namely,  $F$  is the *minimal cyclotomic field* realizing  $\mu$ . Then  $V = \bigoplus_{t \in T} v \otimes t$  becomes an  $FG$ -module via

$$(v \otimes t)g = v \otimes tg = \mu(tg(t \cdot g)^{-1})(v \otimes t \cdot g).$$

So  $V$  affords a representation  $M$  defined via

$$M(g)_{st} = \mu(sg(s \cdot g)^{-1})\delta_{s \cdot g, t},$$

where  $s, t \in T, g \in G$ . We define

$$\rho_{st}(g) = \mu(tg(t \cdot g)^{-1}(s \cdot g)^{-1}s^{-1}).$$

**Definition 3.** Given an orbital  $\Lambda = (1, x) \cdot G, x \in X$ , set  $H_x = H \cap H^x$ . We say that  $\Lambda$  is  $\mu$ -central if  $[H_x, x^{-1}] \leq K = \ker(\mu)$ .

The above condition is equivalent to  $\mu(h_1) = \mu(h_2)$  when  $h_1 = x^{-1}h_2x$  belongs to  $H_x$ . Notice that  $1 = [H_x, xx^{-1}] = [H_x, x^{-1}][H_x, x]^{x^{-1}}$ . So  $[H_x, x^{-1}] \leq K$  forces  $[H_x, x]^{x^{-1}} \leq K$ . Since  $H_x^{x^{-1}} = H_{x^{-1}}$ , we get  $[H_{x^{-1}}, x] \leq K$ . Thus the set of  $\mu$ -central orbitals is closed with respect to inversion  $\Lambda_i = Hx_iH \leftrightarrow \Lambda_{i'} = Hx_i^{-1}H$ .

We prove that  $C = \text{End}_G(V)$  is generated as an  $F$ -vector space by matrices corresponding to  $\mu$ -central orbitals.

**Theorem 4.**  $\text{End}_G(V) = \bigoplus_\Lambda Fc_\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  runs in the family of all  $\mu$ -central orbitals, and  $c = c_\Lambda$  is a matrix such that

- (1)  $\text{Supp}(c) = \Lambda$ ;
- (2) if  $\Lambda = (1, x) \cdot G, x \in X$ , then  $c_{(1,x) \cdot g} = \rho_{1x}(g)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M(g) = D(g)P(g)$ , where  $D(g) = \text{diag}(\mu(sg(s \cdot g)^{-1}))$  and  $P(g) = (\delta_{s \cdot g, t})$  denote the diagonal and permutation part of the monomial matrix  $M(g)$ . Since  $a_{st} = e_s A e'_t$ , for any matrix  $A = (a_{st})$ , where  $e_s$  is a standard vector and  $e'_t$  is the transpose of  $e_t$ , the  $(s, t)$  entry of  $c^{M(g^{-1})}$  equals

$$\mu(sg(s \cdot g)^{-1}(t \cdot g)^{-1}t^{-1})c_{(s,t) \cdot g}.$$

Hence  $c_{(s,t) \cdot g} = \rho_{st}(g)c_{(s,t)}$ , for  $c \in C$ . Therefore any element of  $C$  is a linear combination of matrices whose support is contained in some orbital. So assume that  $\text{Supp}(c) \subseteq \Lambda = (1, x) \cdot G, x \in X$ .

Consider two elements  $y, z \in G$  connecting  $(1, x)$  to  $(s, t)$ , that is

$$(1, x) \cdot y = (s, t) = (1, x) \cdot z.$$

Notice that this condition is equivalent to  $yz^{-1} \in H \cap H^x = H_x$ . Moreover,  $(x \cdot y)^{-1}(1 \cdot y) = (x \cdot z)^{-1}(1 \cdot z)$ . We call this element  $w$ .

We prove that

$$\rho_{1,x}(y) = \rho_{1,x}(z), \tag{1}$$

for all such pairs iff  $\Lambda$  is  $\mu$ -central. In fact,  $\rho_{1,x}(y) = \rho_{1,x}(z)$  iff  $w^{-y^{-1}}w^{z^{-1}}$  belongs to  $K = \ker(\mu)$  iff  $[y^{-1}z, w] \in K^z$  iff  $[h^z, w] \in K^z$  iff  $[h, w^{z^{-1}}] \in K$ , where  $y^{-1}z = h^z$ , with  $h = yz^{-1} \in H_x$ . But

$$w^{z^{-1}} = x^{-1}xz(x \cdot z)^{-1}(1 \cdot z)z^{-1} = x^{-1}h_1,$$

for some  $h_1 \in H$ . Since  $[h, x^{-1}h_1] = [h, h_1][h, x^{-1}]^{h_1}$  and  $H' \leq K = K^{h_1}$  we obtain  $[h, x^{-1}] \in K$  for any  $h \in H_x$ . Since  $[ab, c] = [a, c]^b[b, c]$ , we see that  $[H_x, x^{-1}]$  is a group and Eq. (1) for all pairs  $y, z$  with  $yz^{-1} \in H_x$  is equivalent to  $[H_x, x^{-1}] \leq K$ . Now assume that  $\Lambda$  is a  $\mu$ -central orbital and  $(s, t), (s', t') \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\rho_{st}(y) = \rho_{st}(z)$  for all  $y, z$  such that  $(s, t) \cdot y = (s', t') = (s, t) \cdot z$ . We argue as before splitting the path from  $(s, t)$  to  $(s', t')$  into a length-2 path through  $(1, x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , where  $(s, t), (s', t') \in (1, x) \cdot G$ . Thus  $c = c_\Lambda$  defined via  $c_{st} = \rho_{st}(y)$ ,  $(s, t) = (1, x) \cdot y$ ,  $\Lambda = (1, x) \cdot G$ , is a well defined non-zero matrix in  $C$  with  $\text{Supp}(c) = \Lambda$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.** We call  $c_\Lambda$  as given in Theorem 4 a  $\mu$ -adjacency matrix for any  $\mu$ -central orbital  $\Lambda$ .

**Corollary 6.** Let  $V$  denote the permutation module affording  $(1_H)^G$ ; then  $\text{End}_G(V) = \bigoplus_\Lambda \mathbb{Q}a_\Lambda$ , where  $a_\Lambda$  denotes the adjacency matrix associated with the orbital  $\Lambda$ .

**Proof.** In this case  $\mu = 1_H$ , so  $K = \ker(1_H) = H$ . But  $[h, x^{-1}] = h^{-1}h_1 \in H$ , where  $h = h_1^x$  is a typical element of  $H_x$ . Thus all orbitals are  $1_H$ -central and  $\rho_{1x}(y) = 1$ , for any  $y \in G$ .  $\square$

We order orbitals so that  $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r\}$  is the set of all  $\mu$ -central orbitals. In particular,  $\dim(C) = r$ . In order to study  $C$  we would like to embed it into  $(F)_r$ . Notice that  $n \geq d \geq r$ . For example, if  $G$  is the O’Nan group ON and  $H$  is isomorphic to the first Janko group  $J_1$ , then  $n = 122760$  and  $d = r = 7$ . As a further example where  $n \gg d > r$ , let  $G = \text{PGL}_2(73)$ ,  $P \in \text{Syl}_{37}(G)$ ,  $H = N_G(P) = P \rtimes (2 \times 2)$ , and  $\mu \neq 1_H$ ; then  $n = 2628$ ,  $d = 37$ , and  $r = 36$ .

Notice that  $n = \sum_{x \in X} |H : H_x|$ , so  $n = d$  iff  $H = H_x = H^x$  for any  $x \in X$  iff  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . We further abbreviate  $c_{\Lambda_i}$  as  $c_i$  for all  $\mu$ -central orbitals  $\Lambda_i$ .

**Definition 7.** We describe as *generalized intersection numbers* the structure constants  $p_{ij}^k$  for  $C = \text{End}_G(V)$  as a subalgebra of  $(F)_n$  with respect to the basis  $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ . Namely

$$c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r p_{ij}^k c_k. \tag{2}$$

We may assume that  $x_1 = 1$  so that  $c_1 = 1_n$ , and hence  $c_j \xrightarrow{\sigma} (p_{ij}^k)$  defines an algebra isomorphism (the *right regular representation*) between  $C$  and a subalgebra of  $(F)_r$ . We describe  $p_{ij}^k$  in terms of  $\mu$ -values. For a simpler statement we build  $T$  as follows. For  $x_i \in X$ , we have

$1 \cdot x_i = m_i = \min(\mathcal{O}_i)$ . Let  $n_i = |\mathcal{O}_i| = |H : H_{x_i}|$ . Write  $\mathcal{O}_i = \{\omega_{i1}, \dots, \omega_{in_i}\}$  and fix  $h_{ij} \in H$  such that  $m_i \cdot h_{ij} = \omega_{ij}$ , then  $T = \{x_i h_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  is a right transversal for  $H$  in  $G$ . We may further assume that  $h_{i1} = 1$ , so that  $X \subseteq T$ .

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $T = \{x_i h_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  be a right transversal for  $H$  in  $G$ ; then*

$$p_{ij}^k = \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} \mu(h_{is}^{-1} x_j h x_i h_{is} (h x_k)^{-1}),$$

where the sum is extended to all  $s$  such that  $m_j \cdot h = m_k \cdot (x_i h_{is})^{-1}$ , for some  $h \in H$ . In particular,  $p_{i1}^k = \delta_{ik}$ ,  $p_{1j}^k = \delta_{jk}$ , and  $p_{ij}^1 = \bar{\mu}(h_1 h) n_i \delta_{i'j}$ , where  $x_i^{-1} = h_1 x_i h$ .

**Proof.** Considering the  $(1, x_k)$  entry in Eq. (2), we deduce that  $p_{ij}^k$  equals  $\sum_{w \in T} (c_i)_{(1,w)} (c_j)_{(w,x_k)}$ . Then we get non-zero terms only if  $w = x_i h_{is}$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n_i$ , and  $(w, x_k) \in \Lambda_j$ . The latter condition is equivalent to the existence of  $g \in G$  such that  $(1, x_j) \cdot g = (w, x_k)$ . So  $g = hw$ , where  $m_j \cdot h = m_k \cdot w^{-1}$ . Thus  $(c_i)_{(1,w)} = \rho_{1x_i}(h_{is}) = \mu(h_{is}^{-1})$  and  $(c_j)_{(w,x_k)} = \rho_{1x_j}(g) = \mu(x_j h w x_k^{-1} h^{-1})$ , where  $w = x_i h_{is}$ . Assume now that  $j = 1$ . Then  $(p_{i1}^k) = 1_r$  which is the image of  $1_n$ . Let  $i = 1$ ; then  $n_1 = 1$  and  $h_{11} = 1$ . So  $p_{1j}^k = \mu(x_j h x_k^{-1} h^{-1})$ , where  $m_j \cdot h = m_k$ . But this forces  $j = k$  and we may choose  $h = 1$ . Finally consider  $k = 1$ ; then  $p_{ij}^1 = \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} \mu(h_{is}^{-1} x_j h x_i h_{is} h^{-1})$ , where  $m_j \cdot hw = 1$ , so  $m_j \in 1 \cdot x_i^{-1} H = m_{i'} \cdot H$ . Thus  $j = i'$  and  $i = j'$ . Let  $x_i^{-1} = h_1 x_i h$ . Since  $x_i h x_i \in H$ , then  $\mu(h_{is}^{-1} x_i h x_i h_{is} h^{-1}) = \mu(x_i h x_i h^{-1})$  and  $p_{ij}^1 = n_i \bar{\mu}(h_1 h) \delta_{i'j}$ .  $\square$

In order to efficiently test the existence of  $h \in H$  such that  $m_j \cdot h = m_k \cdot (x_i h_{is})^{-1}$  we construct bases and strong generating sets for both  $H$  and  $G$  (for a more detailed account we refer the reader to Seress (2003, Ch. 4)). This allows us also to drastically reduce storage problems, since we may find presentations with respect to strong generators and express the transversal  $T$  in terms of words in such generators.

The term ‘generalized intersection numbers’ is explained by the following corollary, where we prove that for  $\mu = 1_H$  they coincide with the so-called intersection numbers.

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^d p_{ij}^k a_s$ ,  $a_i$  the adjacency matrix corresponding to the orbital  $\Lambda_i$ . Then  $p_{ij}^k = |m_i \cdot H \cap m_{j'} \cdot H x_k|$ , where  $m_{j'} \in 1 \cdot x_j^{-1} H$ .*

**Proof.** By Proposition 8,  $p_{ij}^k$  counts the elements  $1 \cdot w$  where  $w = x_i h_{is}$  and  $m_k \cdot w^{-1} \in m_j \cdot H$ . Now  $w = x_i h_{is}$  iff  $1 \cdot w \in m_i \cdot H$ . Moreover,  $m_k \cdot w^{-1} \in m_j \cdot H$  iff  $x_j h w x_k^{-1} \in H$ , for some  $h \in H$ , iff  $w \in H x_j^{-1} H x_k$  iff  $1 \cdot w \in m_{j'} \cdot H x_k$ .  $\square$

This corollary was obtained in Michler and Weller (2002), quoting non-elementary results for association schemes.

We close this section proving that there are not many symmetries among the  $p_{ij}^k$ ’s. We recall that  $i'$  is the unique index such that  $x_i^{-1} \in H x_{i'} H$ . Let  $\Omega = [r]^3 = \{(i, j, k) : 1 \leq i, j, k \leq r\}$ . We define  $\gamma \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  via

$$(i, j, k)^\gamma = (i', j, k),$$

and  $\phi \in \text{hom}(\text{Sym}(3), \text{Sym}(\Omega))$  via

$$(i_1, i_2, i_3)^{\phi(\xi)} = (i_{1\xi}, i_{2\xi}, i_{3\xi}).$$

Let  $\Sigma = \langle \gamma \rangle \wr \phi(\text{Sym}(3)) \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . Then  $\delta$  defined via

$$(i, j, k)^\delta = (i', j', k')$$

belongs to  $\Sigma$ .

When  $\mu = 1_H$ , then  $p_{ij}^k = |m_i \cdot H \cap m_{j'} \cdot Hx_k| = |m_i \cdot Hx_k^{-1} \cap m_{j'} \cdot H| = p_{j'i'}^k$ . So the intersection numbers are invariant under  $\delta(1, 2)$ .

One can easily see that when  $G = \text{Sym}(3)$  and  $H \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ , these are the only elements of  $\Sigma$  preserving the intersection numbers.

### 3. 1-Generator algebras, central idempotents and character values

Clearly  $\sigma(C)$ ,  $\sigma$  the right regular representation for  $C$ , is generated by  $\sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_r)$ . Unfortunately getting  $\sigma(c_i)$  might be expensive. We spare some energy as follows. Denote as  $\pi$  the projection of  $\sigma(C)$  to the first row of  $(F)_r$ . Assume we already have a subalgebra  $C'$  of  $\sigma(C)$ . By Proposition 8,  $\pi(C') = F^r$  implies  $C' = \sigma(C)$ . Let  $i$  be minimal such that  $e_i \notin \pi(C')$ , where  $e_i$  the  $i$ th vector of the standard basis for  $F^r$ , then calculate  $\sigma(c_i)$  and replace  $C'$  with the  $F$ -algebra generated by  $C'$  and  $\sigma(c_i)$ . Experimentally the dimensions of the subalgebras obtained seem to double, so we expect  $\sigma(C)$  to be generated by roughly  $\lceil \log_2(r) \rceil$  matrices. At this point we may determine  $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\sigma(C))$  solving a linear system in  $r$  unknowns and  $r_1$  equations, where  $r_1$  is the minimum generating number for  $C$  as an  $F$ -algebra. (This seems to be more efficient than using the MAGMA routine Centre.)

**Proposition 10.** Let  $z = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \sigma(c_i)$ ; then  $z \in \mathbf{Z}(\sigma(C))$  iff  $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i (p_{ij}^k - p_{ji}^k) = 0$ , where  $c_j$  varies among a minimal set of generators for  $C$  and  $1 \leq k \leq r$ .

**Proof.** By Proposition 8, the coordinates of any element of  $\sigma(C)$  may be read off from its first row. Hence  $[z, \sigma(c_j)] = 0$  iff  $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i (p_{ij}^k - p_{ji}^k) = 0$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . Now the assertion follows by letting  $c_j$  vary among a minimal set of generators for  $C$  (as an algebra).  $\square$

As in Michler and Weller (2002), we calculate the right regular representation  $\tau$  of  $Z$  in  $(F)_t$ , where  $t = \dim_F(Z)$ . At this point Michler and Weller try to get a basis of common eigenvectors in  $F^t$  for the generators  $z_1, \dots, z_t$  of  $\tau(Z)$ . We simplify this problem proving that we only need to diagonalize a suitable matrix in  $(F)_t$ .

**Definition 11.** Let  $A$  be an algebra over the field  $E$ . We say that  $A$  is a 1-generator algebra if  $A = E[a]$  for some element  $a \in A$ .

Emulating the proof by Serre of the Jordan–Chevalley decomposition of a matrix (see Humphreys (1978, Proposition 4.2, p. 17)) we prove the following.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $A$  be a commutative, semisimple, finite dimensional  $E$ -algebra,  $E$  a separable field. If  $|E| > \dim_E(A)$ , then  $A$  is a 1-generator algebra.

**Proof.** This result is proved in Chillag (1995, Theorem 1.1) in the case where  $E$  is infinite, so it is enough to consider the case where  $E$  is finite and  $|E| = q$ , say. Also, as noted in Chillag (1995), the Wedderburn Structure Theorem and the hypotheses on  $A$  show that  $A$  is a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s E_i$  where each  $E_i$  is an extension field of  $E$  and the sum of the degrees  $d_i = [E_i : E]$  is equal to  $\dim_E(A)$ . We shall now show that we can choose  $\alpha_i \in E_i$  such that  $E_i = E[\alpha_i]$  and the minimal polynomials for  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  over  $E$  are distinct when  $i \neq j$ . Then the characteristic

polynomial of  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s$  has distinct eigenvalues in its regular action on  $A$ , and so  $A = E[\alpha]$  by Theorem 1.1 of Chillag (1995).

Clearly  $\min_E(\alpha_i) = \min_E(\alpha_j)$  forces  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  to be Galois conjugate. Let  $A_i$  denote the set of all conjugates of  $\alpha_i$  and assume, by induction, that  $A_1, \dots, A_{s-1}$  are disjoint. Notice that  $|A_i| = d_i$ . If  $d_s = 1$ , then pick  $\alpha_s \in E^* \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{s-1} A_i$ . Set  $n = d_s$ . By the Moebius Inversion Formula,

$$|\{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} : \mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha]\}| = \sum_{d|n} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}}(d)q^{\frac{n}{d}},$$

where  $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}}$  denotes the classical Moebius function. The right hand side term is greater than  $q^n - \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} q^i$ , where  $r$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $n$ . We claim that  $f(q, n) = q^n - \frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}+1}-1}{q-1} - q + n > 0$ , for  $q, n \geq 2$ . From

$$f(q, n) = q^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \left( q^{\frac{n}{2}-1} - \frac{1}{(q-1)} \right) + \frac{1}{q-1} - q + n,$$

we deduce that  $f(q, n)$  is an increasing function in  $n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $f(q, 2) = (q-1)^2$ , our claim follows. We obtain  $|\{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} : \mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha]\}| > q - n > \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} d_i = |\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{s-1} A_i|$ , and hence there exists  $\alpha_s \notin \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{s-1} A_i$  such that  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_s]$ . Thus we can choose  $\alpha_i$  such that  $E_i = E[\alpha_i]$  and  $\min_E(\alpha_i) \neq \min_E(\alpha_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ .  $\square$

We remark that Chillag shows that  $|E| > \dim_F(A)$  is a necessary condition. Moreover, he points out that such an algebra  $A$  always possesses an identity (see Chillag (1995, p. 149)).

We apply this result to the center of the endomorphism ring.

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $Z$  be the right regular image in  $(F)_t$  of the center of  $\text{End}_G(V)$ ; then there exists  $z \in Z$  such that  $Z = F[z]$ .*

**Proof.** By Maschke’s Theorem,  $\text{End}_G(V)$  is a semisimple algebra. Hence  $Z$  is a semisimple, commutative, and finite dimensional algebra over the minimal cyclotomic field  $F$  realizing all  $\mu$ -values. Since  $F$  is infinite and separable, the result follows immediately from the previous theorem.  $\square$

In order to obtain  $z$  we use a probabilistic approach. Namely, we determine an  $F$ -vector basis  $\{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$  for  $Z$  and choose randomly integers  $b_1, \dots, b_t$  until  $z = \sum_i b_i z_i$  is a generator for  $Z$ . This can be efficiently checked since  $z$  is a generator if and only if  $\deg m(x) = \dim_F(Z)$  where  $m(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $z \in (F)_t$ . Let  $E$  be a splitting field for  $Z$ , then  $Z \otimes E > E[z]$  only if  $z$  has repeated eigenvalues. Since  $Z \otimes E \simeq E^t$ , this happens iff  $z$  lies in the union of the  $\binom{t}{2}$  subvarieties  $V_{ij} = \{z \in E^t : z_i = z_j\}, 1 \leq i < j \leq t$ , of codimension 1.

We prove that integer coefficients suffice.

**Proposition 14.** *Let  $F$  be an infinite field,  $Z$  a semisimple, finite dimensional, commutative algebra over  $F$ ,  $z_1, \dots, z_t$  an  $F$ -basis for  $Z$ . Then  $z = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i z_i$  satisfies  $Z = F[z]$  unless  $(a_1, \dots, a_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$  lies in the union of  $\binom{t}{2}$  hyperplanes  $H_{ij} \leq E^t$ , where  $E$  is a splitting field for  $Z$ .*

**Proof.** We may assume that  $z_i = \text{diag}(\zeta_{i1}, \dots, \zeta_{it}), \zeta_{ij} \in E$ . Let

$$H_{jk} = \left\{ v \in E^t : \sum_{i=1}^t v_i(\zeta_{ij} - \zeta_{ik}) = 0 \right\}.$$

Notice that for  $j \neq k$ ,  $H_{jk}$  is a hyperplane of  $E^t$ ; otherwise the  $j$ th and  $k$ th components of any element in  $Z$  would coincide against  $\dim_F(Z) = t$ . Now  $z = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i z_i$  does not satisfy  $Z = F[z]$  iff  $(a_1, \dots, a_t) \in H_{jk}$  for some  $j \neq k$ .  $\square$

We now show how to exploit a generator  $z$  for  $Z$  in order to obtain the primitive central idempotents  $e_i$  for the algebra  $\mathbb{C}M(G)$ , where  $M$  is the monomial representation affording  $\mu^G$ . By Wedderburn’s Theorem there is a one-to-one correspondence between the  $e_i$ ’s and the irreducible constituents  $\chi_i$  of  $\mu^G$  given by  $\chi_i(g) = \text{tr}(e_i M(g))$ . We now provide a recipe which allows us to work on these idempotents at three different levels, namely in dimensions  $n$ ,  $r$ , and  $t$ , exchanging information obtained in the smallest algebra. The key and simple idea is to obtain Lagrange polynomials interpolating the eigenvalues of  $z$  and use them to express the primitive central idempotents at any level. We recall that given  $t$  distinct scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$  the Lagrange polynomials  $L_i(x)$  satisfy the system

$$L_i(x) \equiv \delta_{ij} \pmod{(x - \lambda_j)}.$$

Notice that the eigenvalues of  $z$  lie in any splitting field for  $G$ . According to a theorem of Brauer they belong to  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ , where  $e = \text{Exp}(G)$ . This will allow us to apply Dixon’s approach (see Dixon (1967)), solving what turns out to be in general a difficult problem, namely finding complex eigenvalues. Obtained the Lagrange polynomials we can easily read off the multiplicity  $f_i = (\chi_i, \mu^G)$ , where  $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu^G)$ , and the coordinates of a central idempotent with respect to the  $\mu$ -adjacency matrices. We recall that  $\sigma$  is the right regular representation of  $C \leq (F)_n$  into  $(F)_r$  and  $\tau$  is the right regular representation of  $\mathbf{Z}(\sigma(C))$  in  $(F)_t$ .

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $Z = \tau(\mathbf{Z}(\sigma(C))) \leq (F)_t$  be generated by  $z$  and  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ , where  $|\zeta_e| = \text{Exp}(G)$ . Then*

- (a)  $z$  admits distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$  in  $E^*$ , where  $t = \dim_F(Z)$ .
- (b) Let  $L_i(x)$  be the Lagrange polynomials relative to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ ; then  $L_i(z)$  are the central primitive idempotents of  $Z$ .
- (c) Let  $f_i = (\chi_i, \mu^G)$  be the multiplicity of  $\chi_i$  in  $\mu^G$ . Then  $f_i^2 = \text{rank}(\widehat{e}_i)$ , where  $\widehat{e}_i = L_i(\tau^{-1}(z))$  is a primitive central idempotent for  $\sigma(C)$ .
- (d) Let  $\widehat{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} \sigma(c_j)$ , where  $c_j$  are the  $\mu$ -adjacency matrices. Then  $a_{ij}$  is the  $(1, j)$ -entry of  $\widehat{e}_i$ . In particular,  $a_{ij} \in E$ .

**Proof.** By Brauer’s Theorem (see Isaacs (1976, Theorem 10.3)),  $E$  is a splitting field for  $G$ . Hence  $EM(G) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^t (E)_{d_i} \otimes 1_{f_i}$  and  $C_E = C \otimes E \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^t 1_{d_i} \otimes (E)_{f_i}$ . Thus  $Z(C_E) = EM(G) \cap C_E$  splits over  $E$ . Since  $Z = F[z]$ ,  $z$  must be a semisimple regular element, and hence admits  $t$  distinct non-zero eigenvalues. Notice that  $Z_E = Z \otimes E \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^t E$  is a split torus in  $(E)_t$  and  $\tilde{e}_i = L_i(z)$  corresponds to an elementary matrix, and hence is a primitive central idempotent. Notice that  $\sigma(C_E) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t (E)_{f_i} \otimes 1_{f_i}$ . Let  $\widehat{e}_i = L_i(\tau^{-1}(z))$ ; then  $\widehat{e}_i = \text{diag}(0, \dots, 1_{f_i^2}, \dots, 0)$ , and hence  $\text{rank}(\widehat{e}_i) = f_i^2$ . On the other hand, from  $EM(G) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^t (E)_{d_i} \otimes 1_{f_i}$  we deduce that  $f_i$  is the multiplicity of the block  $(E)_{d_i}$  in  $EM(G)$ . Finally, by Proposition 8,  $p_{1j}^k = \delta_{jk}$ , so  $a_{ij} = (\widehat{e}_i)_{1j} \in E$ .  $\square$

From a computational perspective we would like to point out that in order to get  $\tau^{-1}(z)$  we only need to keep track of the basis  $\widehat{z}_1, \dots, \widehat{z}_t$  of  $\mathbf{Z}(\sigma(C))$  and of the integers  $b_1, \dots, b_t$  such

that  $z = \sum_{i=1}^t b_i \tau(\widehat{z}_i)$  is a generator for  $Z$ . We are now in the position to explicitly get the irreducible constituent  $\chi_i$  of  $\mu^G$  corresponding to the primitive central idempotent  $e_i$ . In order to determine  $\chi_i(g)$  we generalize the so called *Gollan–Ostermann numbers* and apply a direct trace calculation that avoids any reference to Proposition 12.12 in Landrock (1983).

**Definition 16.** Let  $\mu$  be a linear character of  $H$ ,  $H \leq G$ ,  $T$  a right transversal for  $H$  in  $G$ . Then we define the *extended Gollan–Ostermann number* corresponding to the  $\mu$ -central orbital  $\Lambda_j$  and  $g \in G$  as

$$p_j(g) = \sum_{u \in T} \mu(x_j g^{(hu)^{-1}}),$$

where the sum ranges over all  $u \in T$  such that  $m_j \cdot hug = 1 \cdot u$  for some  $h \in H$ . If none exists we set  $p_j(g) = 0$ .

**Theorem 17.** Let  $e_i = L_i(\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1}(z)) = \sigma^{-1}(\widehat{e}_i)$ ; then the  $e_i$ 's are the pairwise orthogonal primitive central idempotents for  $EM(G)$ . Moreover,  $e_i = \sum_{j=1}^t a_{ij}c_j$  for some  $a_{ij} \in E$ . Let  $p_j(g)$  be the extended Gollan–Ostermann numbers. If  $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu^G)$  corresponds to  $e_i$ , then

$$\chi_i(g) = \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{j=1}^t a_{ij} p_j(g),$$

where  $f_i^2 = (\chi_i, \mu^G)^2 = \text{rank}(\widehat{e}_i)$ . In particular,  $d_i = \chi_i(1) = \frac{na_{i1}}{f_i}$ .

**Proof.** The first two claims follow immediately from Theorem 15. If  $\chi_i$  corresponds to  $e_i$ , then  $f_i \chi_i(g) = \text{tr}(e_i M(g)) = \sum_j a_{ij} \text{tr}(c_j M(g))$ . So we are done if we get  $p_j(g) = \text{tr}(c_j M(g))$ . Now  $\text{tr}(c_j M(g)) = \sum_{u,v \in T} (c_j)_{uv} M(g)_{v,u}$ . Since  $M(g)$  is monomial the right term equals  $\sum_{u \in T} (c_j)_{uv} \mu(vgu^{-1})$ , where  $v$  is uniquely determined by  $u = v \cdot g$ . On the other hand,  $(c_j)_{uv} = \rho_{1x_j}(y)$ , where  $(u, v) = (1, x_j) \cdot y$ . Thus  $y = hu$  and  $1 \cdot v = 1 \cdot x_j hu$ , for some  $h \in H$ . So  $h$  satisfies  $m_j \cdot h = 1 \cdot (u \cdot g^{-1})u^{-1} = 1 \cdot ug^{-1}u^{-1}$  and  $\rho_{1x_j}(y) = \mu(x_j h u v^{-1} h^{-1})$ . Thus  $(c_j)_{uv} M(g)_{vu} = \mu(x_j h u v^{-1} h^{-1} v g u^{-1}) = \mu(x_j^h g^{u^{-1}})$ ; the second equality follows on moving  $h^{-1}$  to the left which we are allowed to do since  $H' \leq \ker(\mu)$  and  $x_j h u v^{-1} \in H$ . Thus  $p_j(g) = \sum_{u \in T} \mu(x_j^h g^{u^{-1}})$ , for all  $u \in T$  such that there exists  $h \in H$  conjugating  $m_j$  to  $1 \cdot (u \cdot g^{-1})u^{-1}$ . In particular, if  $g = 1$ , then such  $h$  exists only if  $j = 1$  and in this case  $h = 1$  works. Thus  $p_j(1) = n\delta_{1j}$ . If  $EM(G) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^t (E)_{d_i} \otimes 1_{f_i}$ , then  $d_i = \chi_i(1)$  and the latter value equals  $\frac{1}{f_i} \sum_j a_{ij} \delta_{1j} = \frac{na_{i1}}{f_i}$ .  $\square$

Specializing again to the case where  $\mu = 1_H$ , the trivial character of  $H$ , we obtain in a slightly different form the Michler–Weller Theorem and, a fortiori, Frobenius’s Theorem (see Michler and Weller (2002)). The difference simply reduces to a reordering of the constituents according to  $\chi \leftrightarrow \overline{\chi}$ .

**Corollary 18.** Let  $P$  be the permutation representation of  $G$  induced by right multiplication on a right transversal of  $H$  in  $G$ . Let  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ ,  $|\zeta_e| = \text{Exp}(G)$ . Let  $e_i$  be the primitive central idempotents of  $EP(G)$ . Then there exist  $a_{ij} \in E$  such that  $e_i = \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij} a_j$ , where  $a_j$  are the adjacency matrices. Let  $\sigma$  be the right regular representation for  $C = \text{End}_G(V)$  with respect to the basis of adjacency matrices,  $V$  the permutation module. Then  $\text{rank}(\sigma(e_i)) = f_i^2$ , for some  $f_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $p_j(g) = |\{u \in T : ug^{-1}u^{-1} \in Hx_jH\}|$ ; then  $\chi_i(g) = \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_j a_{ij} p_j(g)$ .

**Proof.** All claims are straightforward, apart from the shape of  $p_j(g)$ . Notice that for  $\mu = 1_H$ ,  $p_j(g) = |\{u \in T : 1 \cdot x_j h = 1 \cdot (u \cdot g^{-1})u^{-1}\}|$ . The latter condition is equivalent to requiring that  $(u \cdot g^{-1})u^{-1} \in Hx_jH$ . Since  $u \cdot g^{-1} = h_1 u g^{-1}$ , the result follows.  $\square$

Notice that in the case of a permutation representation,  $p_j(g^{-1})$  coincides with the Gollan–Ostermann number relative to  $j$  and  $g$  (compare Gollan and Ostermann (1990), Michler (2001), and Michler and Weller (2002)).

#### 4. Dixon’s modular approach

Unfortunately, working in large cyclotomic fields like  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ ,  $|\zeta_e| = e = \text{Exp}(G)$ , might require prohibitive amounts of time and storage. We prove that the approach introduced by Dixon to recover the constituents of the regular representation may be adapted to our context. By Dirichlet’s Theorem, there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $p \equiv_e 1$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_p$ ; then  $|g|$  divides  $|L^*| = p - 1$  for any  $g \in G$ . Fix an element  $\varepsilon_e$  of  $L$  of order  $e$ . Define a homomorphism  $\theta_e$  from  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$  into  $L$  via

$$\theta_e : f(\zeta_e) \mapsto f(\varepsilon_e),$$

for any  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Notice that given a factorization  $dk$  for  $e$ ,  $\theta_e$  induces by restriction a homomorphism  $\theta_d$  from  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$  into  $L$ .

We would like to reproduce all calculations in Theorems 15 and 17 in the finite field  $L$ . Set  $l = |H/K|$ , where  $K = \ker(\mu)$ . Using the entries of  $M(g)$ , where  $M$  is the representation affording  $\mu^G$ , we may extend  $\theta_l$  to such matrices and define a representation  $M_L$  of  $G$  over  $L$  via

$$M_L : g \mapsto \theta_l(M(g)).$$

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $t = \dim_F(Z)$ ,  $r = \dim_F \text{End}_G(V)$ ,  $n = |G : H|$ ,  $e = \text{Exp}(G)$ ,  $l = |H/\ker(\mu)|$ ,  $p$  a prime such that  $p \equiv_e 1$  and  $p > \max(2n, t)$ , and  $L = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $A_L = LM_L(G)$ ,  $C_L = \mathbf{C}(A_L)$  and  $Z_L = \mathbf{Z}(C_L)$ . Then*

- (1)  $Z_L = \mathbf{Z}(A_L) = \theta_l(Z(FG) \cap (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l])_t)$  is a semisimple commutative algebra of dimension  $t$ ;
- (2)  $Z_L = L[\bar{z}]$ , for some  $\bar{z} \in Z_L$ ;
- (3) there exists  $z \in Z$  such that  $\theta_l(z) = \bar{z}$  and  $Z = F[z]$ ;
- (4)  $\theta_e$  defines a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$  into  $L$  with kernel the ideal  $I$  generated by  $p$  and  $\zeta_e - c_e$ , where  $c_e \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c_e \equiv_p \varepsilon_e$ ;
- (5) the Lagrange polynomials  $L_i(x)$  associated with the eigenvalues of  $z$  belong to  $S[x]$ , where  $S$  is the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$  at  $I$ ;
- (6)  $\theta_e$  extends to  $S$  and  $\bar{e}_i = \theta_e(L_i(\tau^{-1}(z))) \in (L)_r$  are the primitive central idempotents of  $Z_L$ ;
- (7)  $(L)_r \ni \bar{c}_j = \theta_e(\sigma(c_j))$ ,  $c_j$  the  $\mu$ -adjacency matrices, are  $L$ -linearly independent;
- (8) let  $\bar{e}_i = \sum b_{ij} \bar{c}_j$ ; then  $b_{ij} = \theta_e(a_{ij})$ , where  $e_i = \sum a_{ij} \sigma(c_j)$ ;
- (9)  $\theta_e(\chi_i(g)) = \frac{1}{\bar{f}_i} \sum_j b_{ij} \theta_l(p_j(g))$ , where  $\bar{f}_i$  is the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $f_i = (\chi_i, \mu^G)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $p \equiv_e 1$ ,  $p \nmid |G|$  and  $A_L$  is a semisimple algebra by Maschke’s Theorem. By Wedderburn’s Theorem  $\mathbf{Z}(A_L) = A_L \cap C_L = \mathbf{Z}(C_L)$ . Since  $E$  and  $L$  are splitting fields for  $A_E = EM(G)$  and  $A_L$ , a theorem of Brauer and Nesbitt implies  $\dim_L(Z_L) = \dim_E(Z_E) = \dim_F(Z)$  (see Huppert (1998, Theorem 39.10)). Since  $\theta_l(\mathbb{Z}) = L$ , we also get  $Z_L = \theta_l(Z(FG) \cap (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l])_t)$ .

By Theorem 12,  $Z_L = L[\bar{z}]$ , since  $p > t$ . Consider  $z \in \mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l])_t$  such that  $\theta_t(z) = \bar{z}$ . Then  $z$  is a semisimple element and it admits distinct non-zero eigenvalues in  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ .

We recall that  $\theta_e$  is defined via  $\theta_e(f(\zeta_e)) = \bar{f}(\varepsilon_e)$ , where  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $\bar{f}$  denotes reduction modulo  $p$ . Let  $I = \ker(\theta_e)$ . Clearly  $I \geq J = \langle \zeta_e - c_e, p \rangle$ . Since  $f(\zeta_e) \equiv_J f(c_e) \equiv_J f(c_e) \pmod p$ , we get the converse inclusion  $J \geq I$ .

We extend  $\theta_e$  to a ring homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e])_t$  into  $(L)_t$ . We have that

$$\theta_e(\det(x1 - z)) = \det(x1 - \theta_e(z)).$$

Thus the eigenvalues of  $\bar{z} = \theta_e(z)$  have shape  $\theta_e(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda$  an eigenvalue of  $z$ . Since  $\bar{z}$  generates  $Z_L$ ,  $\theta_e(\lambda) \neq \theta_e(\lambda')$  for any pair  $\lambda, \lambda'$  of eigenvalues of  $z$ . So  $\lambda - \lambda' \notin I$ . Since

$$L_i(x) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} (x - \lambda_j)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)},$$

$L_i(x) \in S[x]$ , where  $S$  is the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$  at  $I$ . We claim first that  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ . In fact,  $\lambda_i$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l]$  in  $E$ . By transitivity of integrality,  $\lambda$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But the ring of integers in  $E$  equals  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$  (see Ireland and Rosen (1982, Proposition 13.2.10)). Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]/I \cong L$ ,  $I$  is a maximal and prime ideal, so  $L_i(x) \in S[x]$ .

Now  $Z = F[z]$ , since  $z$  has distinct non-zero eigenvalues. So  $Z_L = \theta_e(\mathbb{Z}[z])$ . Since  $e_i = L_i(z)$  are the central primitive idempotents of  $Z$ , the same holds for  $\bar{e}_i = \theta_e(e_i)$  in  $Z_L$ .

By Proposition 8, the first row of  $\sigma(c_j)$  is the  $j$ th standard vector of  $E^r$ , and hence the  $\bar{c}_j$  are  $L$ -linearly independent.

Since  $\bar{e}_i \in \theta_e(C_L) \leq (L)_r$ , there exist  $b_{ij} \in L$  such that  $\bar{e}_i = \sum_j b_{ij} \bar{c}_j$ . On the other hand,  $e_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \sigma(c_j)$ . Since  $e_i = L_i(z)$  and  $L_i(x) \in S[x]$ ,  $e_i \in (S)_r$ . By the previous remark  $a_{ij}$  occurs in the first row of  $\bar{e}_i$ , so  $a_{ij} \in S$ . Thus we may apply  $\theta_e$  to  $e_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \sigma(c_j)$ . Linear independence of  $\bar{c}_j$  forces  $b_{ij} = \theta_e(a_{ij})$ .

By Theorem 17,  $\chi_i(g) = \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} p_j(g)$ . Since  $n = \sum_i f_i d_i$  and  $p > 2n$ , we have that  $p \nmid f_i$ . Moreover,  $a_{ij} \in S$  and  $p_j(g) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_l]$  allows us to apply  $\theta_e$  to the above formula and obtain  $\theta_e(\chi_i(g)) = \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_j b_{ij} \theta_l(p_j(g))$ .  $\square$

We would like to point out that from the above theorem we may obtain  $\theta_e(\chi_i(g))$  without using explicitly  $\theta_e$ . In fact, we construct  $Z_L$  applying  $\theta_l$  to the integral part of  $Z$ , find a generator for  $Z_L$ , determine its central primitive idempotents, calculate the coefficients  $b_{ij}$  using linear algebra over  $L$  and finally apply  $\theta_l$  to the extended Gollan–Ostermann numbers  $p_j(g)$ .

The obvious advantage is that we only need to work in  $F$  instead of  $E$ . The non-obvious one is that from Theorem 12 we may deduce a deterministic algorithm to get a generator for  $Z_L$ , even if computationally a probabilistic approach seems to be more effective.

Notice that, as in Proposition 14,  $\bar{z}$  generates  $Z_L$  iff  $\bar{z}$  has distinct eigenvalues. Now  $Z_L$  is isomorphic to the split torus  $L^t$  in  $(L)_t$ . So the probability of picking a generator is  $\prod_{j=1}^{t-1} (1 - j/p)$ , an increasing function in the prime  $p$ .

In Dixon’s original approach one has to work with sums of  $e$  terms in order to lift the modular reduction of character values back into  $E$ . We now make explicit a local version of Dixon’s Theorem that avoids using  $E$  again.

**Proposition 20.** Let  $g \in G$  have order  $d$ ,  $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu^G)$ ,  $\zeta_d$  and  $\varepsilon_d$  elements of order  $d$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $L^*$ . Then

$$\chi_i(g) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} m_{ij} \zeta_d^j,$$

where  $\mathbb{N} \ni m_{ij}$  is uniquely determined by  $m_{ij} \equiv_p \frac{1}{d} \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \varepsilon_d^{-js} \theta(\chi_i(g^s))$ , provided  $p$  is a prime  $p \equiv_e 1$ ,  $p > \max(2|G : H|, \dim_F(Z))$ .

This has already been observed in the diploma thesis of Hulpke (see Hulpke (1993, p. 26)).

### 5. The algorithm

We provide a rough description of the algorithm implemented in MAGMA 2.12.19.

INPUT: A finite permutation group  $G$ , a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , and a linear character  $\mu$  of  $H$ .

OUTPUT: A list  $(f_1, \dots, f_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t$  and a matrix  $X \in (\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e))_{t \times k}$ ,  $k = k(G)$  the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , where  $\mu^G = \sum_{j=1}^t f_j \chi_j$ ,  $\chi_j \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , and  $\chi_j(g_i) = X_{ji}$ ,  $g_i$  a representative for the  $i$ th class of  $G$  in some fixed order.

- (1) Get the ordered list of conjugacy classes of  $G$  and power maps.
- (2) Build the action of  $G$  on  $H$ -cosets.
- (3) Determine the suborbits of  $H$  in this action.
- (4) Get finite presentations with respect to strong generating sets.
- (5) Store, using these presentations, double-coset representatives and a right transversal of  $H$  in  $G$ .
- (6) Get the  $\mu$ -central orbitals.
- (7) Build  $\sigma(C)$ , the right regular image of the centralizer algebra, in  $(\mathbb{Q}(\mu))_r$  starting from  $1_r$  and adjoining non-redundant generators until we obtain an algebra of dimension  $r$ .
- (8) Calculate the center  $Z$  of this algebra as the kernel of a linear transformation.
- (9) Get  $z$  in the right regular image of  $Z$  such that its modular image  $\bar{z}$  in  $(L)_t$  generates  $Z_L$ , where  $L = \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\text{Exp}(G)$  divides  $p - 1$ .
- (10) Calculate the eigenvalues and the associated Lagrange polynomials for  $\bar{z}$ .
- (11) Calculate the primitive central idempotents for the modular reduction of  $\sigma(C)$  in  $(L)_r$ .
- (12) Get the list of multiplicities as square roots of the rank of the idempotents.
- (13) Determine the Gollan–Ostermann matrix  $(p_j(g_i)) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e))_{r \times k}$ ,  $k$  the class number of  $G$ .
- (14) Lift the modular values of the irreducible constituents into  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ .

### 6. Implementation issues and performance

We report in this section some examples run on a computer with a 1.5 GHz Pentium 4 processor and 2 Gb of RAM. The main bottleneck is related to the calculation of the extended Gollan–Ostermann numbers. Their determination becomes unfeasible when the degree of the representation is larger than 100.000.

We list the execution times in seconds for permutation characters in Table 1 and for monomial characters in Table 2.

For the examples we have used the group libraries in MAGMA. So  $\text{Tra}(d, n)$  ( $\text{Pri}(d, n)$ ) stands for the  $n$ th transitive (primitive) group of degree  $d$ , and  $\text{Per}(n)$  ( $\text{AS}(n)$ ) for the  $n$ th perfect (almost-simple) group according to the internal ordering in MAGMA 2.12.19.

Table 1  
Monomial representations

$G$	$ H $	Degree	$ \mu $	$t$	Time
$J_1$	168	1045	3	8	3.53
$J_1$	120	1463	2	14	6.87
$J_1$	114	1540	6	9	13.58
$J_1$	110	1596	5	11	15.23
HS	252 000	176	2	2	2.60
HS	40 320	1100	2	4	13.35
HS	40 320	1100	2	4	11.34
$J_2$	2 160	280	2	4	1.21
$J_2$	1 152	525	3	3	1.48
$J_2$	720	840	3	4	3.93
$J_2$	600	1008	2	8	6.11
$Co_3$	1796 256 000	276	2	2	18.39
$G_2(4)$	184 320	1365	3	2	11.02
Per(122)	15 000	25	5	1	9.66
Per(122)	37 500	10	2	3	24.95
AS(98)	148	74	2	36	201.21

Table 2  
Permutation representations

$G$	$ H $	Degree	$t$	Time
$J_1$	660	266	5	0.31
$J_1$	168	1045	11	2.12
$J_1$	120	1463	10	4.26
$J_1$	114	1540	12	4.29
$J_1$	110	1596	13	4.63
HS	443 520	100	3	0.30
HS	40 320	1100	5	3.63
HS	40 320	1100	5	3.76
HS	11 520	3850	9	31.22
HS	10 752	4125	9	38.99
$J_2$	6048	100	3	0.18
$J_2$	2160	280	4	0.34
$J_2$	1920	315	6	0.42
$J_2$	1152	525	6	0.82
$J_2$	720	840	7	1.60
$J_2$	600	1008	8	2.27
$J_2$	336	1800	9	6.00
Suz	251 596 800	1782	3	15.90
$Co_3$	898 128 000	552	4	1.87
$3.PSU_6(2)$	13 685 760	2016	7	47.65
$G_2(4)$	604 800	416	3	0.98
$G_2(4)$	184 320	1365	4	6.17
$G_2(4)$	184 320	1365	4	7.04
$G_2(4)$	124 800	2016	3	18.65
$G_2(4)$	120 960	2080	4	16.84
Tra(20, 246)	288	100	6	0.74
Per(122)	120	3125	35	65.15
AS(98)	120	74	37	73.26
Pri(625, 345)	384	625	5	4.59

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. Gerhard Michler for helpful and animated discussions, Prof. Keith Dennis for allowing me to use the computer facilities during my one-month visit at Cornell University, Prof. John Murray and Prof. Mark Andrea de Cataldo for stylistic improvements, and the referees for several technical and mathematical suggestions.

This research was supported by F.A.R. 2004 funds from the University of Insubria and by grants from the Cofin project “Teoria dei Gruppi e Applicazioni” while the author was visiting the mathematical department of Cornell University.

## References

- Bosma, W., Cannon, J.J., 2005. Handbook of MAGMA Functions Version 2.12. <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma>, University of Sydney.
- Breuer, T., 2002. Constructing the Irreducible Characters of  $J_4$  with GAP. <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- Chillag, D., 1995. Regular representations of semisimple algebras, separable field extensions, group characters, generalized circulants, and generalized cyclic codes. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 218, 147–183.
- Dixon, J.D., 1967. High speed computation of group characters. *Numer. Math.* 10, 446–450.
- Ferguson, H.R.P., Bailey, D.H., Arno, S., 1999. Analysis of PSLQ, an integer relation finding algorithm. *Math. Comp.* 68, 351–369.
- The GAP Group, 2005. GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.6. <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- Gollan, H.W., Ostermann, T.W., 1990. Operation of class sums on permutation modules. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 9, 39–47.
- Graham, R., Knuth, D., Patashnik, O., 1989. *Concrete Mathematics*. Addison-Wesley.
- Hulpke, J.A., 1993. Zur Berechnung von Charaktertafeln, Diplomarbeit, Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, Rheinisch Westfälische Technische Hochschule. [http://www.math.colostate.edu/~hulpke/paper/diplo\\_ahulpke.dvi.gz](http://www.math.colostate.edu/~hulpke/paper/diplo_ahulpke.dvi.gz).
- Humphreys, J.E., 1978. Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. In: *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Second printing, revised.
- Huppert, B., 1998. Character Theory of Finite Groups. In: *Walter de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*, vol. 25.
- Ireland, K., Rosen, M., 1982. A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory. In: *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 84. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Isaacs, I.M., 1976. Character Theory of Finite Groups. In: *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. 69. Academic Press, New York, London.
- Landrock, P., 1983. Finite Group Algebras and their Modules. In: *London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes*, Cambridge.
- Lenstra, A.K., Lenstra, H.W., Lovász, L., 1982. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Math. Ann.* 261 (4), 515–534.
- McKay, J., 1970. The construction of the character table of a finite group from generators and relations. In: *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, pp. 89–100.
- Michler, G.O., 2001. The character values of multiplicity-free irreducible constituents of a transitive permutation representation. *Kyushu J. Math.* 55, 75–106.
- Michler, G.O., Weller, M., 2002. The character values of the irreducible constituents of a transitive permutation representation. *Arch. Math.* 78, 417–429.
- Pohst, M., 1987. A modification of the LLL reduction algorithm. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 4, 123–127.
- Schneider, G.J.A., 1990. Dixon’s character table algorithm revisited. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 9, 601–606.
- Seress, Á., 2003. Permutation Group Algorithms. In: *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 152. Cambridge University Press.
- Unger, W.R., 2006. Computing the character table of a finite group. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 41 (8), 847–862.